



2025 St. Paul's Community Survey Open-Ended Comments

Presented to the Village of
Garden City, NY

July 2025



The background of the page is a composite image. The top portion shows a clear sky with a few clouds and the green, conical roof of a building. The bottom portion is an aerial view of a large, historic building with a complex roofline, including multiple gables, dormers, and a central tower. The building is surrounded by lush green trees and a well-maintained lawn. A dark blue semi-transparent rectangle is overlaid on the center of the page, containing the title and table of contents.

Contents

Question 1-4 [Other]: *“How would you characterize your attachment to or appreciation of the St. Paul’s Main Building?”*1

Question 2-13 [Other]: *“Please choose your top THREE choices to include in a St. Paul’s project.”* 5

Question 3-7 [Other]: *“Please indicate how important each of these features is to your household.”* 11

Question 8a-8 [Other]: *“Please indicate why you selected the ‘Will not support’ answer option presented in Questions 4-7.”*17

Question 12: *“Please share any other comments you would like to make.”* 33

Q1-4 [Other]. How would you characterize your attachment to or appreciation of the St. Paul's Main Building?

- 35 years ago something could have been done!
- A cancer on the Village
- A waste of taxpayers money
- Ability to provide an outlet for local children to play/learn/grow away from 7th street. Also the potential to generate revenue for the village long-term. And the potential for a LOCAL, FULL TIME, HIGH QUALITY Daycare/Preschool (please).
- After 35 years-time to move on.
- appreciate for it's future use
- Appreciate green space, recreation, activities close by
- APPRECIATE IT BUT ITS TOO DAMAGED TO SALVAGE
- Appreciate its past
- Appreciate of history but practical that it is time to move on
- appreciate the past
- Appreciation for incorporating the structure into the town for use
- Appreciation of St. Paul's historical connection to Village history along with parts of its architectural character, are very much valued. However, in my professional experience with "renovation, costs pertaining to the "removal of asbestos / and the toxic mineral composition of concrete, not only present "air born" health issues, but representing to the Garden City owners, estimates presented by Westerman for the " 2023 renovations vs. demolition " of 2023 is woefully underestimated.
- AT STEWART
- Attended CSSM/CSSP
- Beautiful building no longer safe because of misuse & neglect
- Can't live without it
- Childhood memories
- Community based outdoor area that services many different local needs. Beautiful outdoor area & activities. Part of many daily routines just by being worthy of including in a walk - green spaces matter.
- Comparable to historic European Architecture
- concern for cost-tear it down
- Concerned about condition and repair cost reality.
- Cost has to be known and reasonable, which it is not
- Cost is prohibitive
- COULD HAVE BEEN BEAUTIFUL 15 YRS AGO
- Dangerous Eyesore
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish

- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish because of 30 years of indecision and a money pit.
- Demolish, facadism
- Disappointed we can't figure this out
- do not save
- Do not support
- Do not support
- DONT CARE ANYMORE
- Emotional Link in past.
- enhances values and character of Village
- Eyesore
- eyesore, symbol of disfunction
- Facade
- Facade
- Families graduation
- future use
- great piece of land for demolition.
- Hate it! Demolish it.
- hate it, would vote to demolish
- HISTORICAL BUILDINGS BRING VALUE TO ALL PROPERTIES IN GARDEN CITY
- host walk through
- Huge burden on taxpayers
- I am a graduate of the Cathedral School of St. Mary, the "sister" school of St. Paul's, and thus have a special attachment to this beautiful building and its history. This is especially true since our historic St. Mary's building was lost to fire many years ago.
- I attended St. Paul's before it was closed at the end of my sophomore year. I attended St. Mary's before that.
- I grew up here. Our backyard went into Saint Paul's field. I now live across town, but still feel very connected to it.
- I grew up in garden city. however I feel attachment is to subjective a phrase.
- I have no desire to put tax paper money into it.
- I look at the clock tower from my condo and it's beautiful. We must preserve our history.
- Iconic structure for Garden City
- Irreplaceable significance

- It adds to the value of garden city
- It has passed its usefulness
- It honors A.T. Stewart, the founder of Garden City
- It is a relic of a prior era
- It is a white elephant with little value. The problem has been over hyped. The building should be removed or net leased to a user who can generate tax revenue or rental payments
- It is an eyesore
- It is beautiful
- It is obsolete and useless so it should be demolished
- It served a purpose years ago.
- It was a great building but a long time ago it should have been turned into the Garden City high school.
- It's a money pit
- It's an eye sore and needs to go
- It's an eyesore
- It's beyond its time
- It's magnificent
- It's potential for municipal service.
- It's the crown jewel of Garden City
- Knock it down
- Landmark building in Nassau County listed seven to save, NYS. Listed national historic register.
- LOCATION NEAR VILLAGE CENTER AND PRIMARY ATHLETIC FIELD
- Minimal
- Money put/demolition
- National register of historical buildings. It must be preserved.
- NO APPRECIATION AT ALL
- No attachment
- No attachment
- No attachment or appreciation
- No attachment to the main building
- No attachment, no appreciation
- no longer feel the attachment
- No need for building.
- NOT MUCH
- not supporting
- Old building
- Other to me means the ability to use St Paul's to do my walks, runs, and workouts on its grounds.
- Our vote is for demolition
- potential
- POTENTIAL FOR A VALUE ADDED ASSET TO GARDEN CITY
- Potential for use for all residence
- Potential resource to Garden City
- Potential to increase property value
- Potential to serve as a community center
- POTENTIAL USES
- Rebuild new structure

- Respect the beauty and craftsmanship
- Saint Paul's is a blemish on Garden City. It should be demolished at a minimal cost.
- SAVE IT
- Sell it to a developer in its entirety
- Showing next generation the value of restoring works of art.
- SOLUTION TO CAPITAL NEEDS OF VILLAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT
- Sport field use
- St. Paul's faculty alumni
- Support demolition
- Tear it down!
- The building has no historical significance to the village.
- The fact that is solidly built. It is impossible to build such a structure in these times.
- The land...(take it down)
- the time has passed
- To preserve and Build a Centerpiece for Current and Future Residents and Visitors to Focus and Foster appreciation for the History of Our Village, and the Architecture revered at the time of the Village's founding. Repair and Restoration is a Statement of Who We ARE. Demolition Option is Symptom of a "Throw-Away," "Live for Today only" Society.
- Too much time has passed
- Unique to D.C History
- used to appreciate all, now I don't care
- Used to care about it, not anymore
- Village aesthetics
- VILLAGE EYESORE AND ENDLESS WASTE OF TAXPAYER MONEY
- VISUAL UNIQUENESS TO OUR VILLAGE
- Waste of time and resources to keep it around.
- We need a change
- Went to school there
- WHAT USED TO BE BEAUTIFUL IS NOW A BLIGHT
- when finished, should be landmark status
- While I appreciate St Paul's for all 3 options articulated above, I have also included "other" not as another measure of appreciation BUT rather, to weigh my "appreciation" against (and to articulate in this survey) my deep seated concern as to the ultimate cost, and tax liability the residents of the village might well be assessed if the project encounters massive cost over runs. The decades of indecision has taken its toll on St Paul's infrastructure. Surely it is within the realm of possibility that the projected estimates of preserving St Paul's fall woefully short.
- While I appreciate the choices presented in items 1, 2 and 3 of this question, after 30 years of wasted debate and expenses, the time to restore, save or renovate this property has come and gone. It needs to be demolished so as not to financially burden current and future residents of Garden City.
- Will not support
- WOULD RATHER HAVE INCOME PRODUCING HOMES-1 FAM WITH LARGE LOTS.

Q2-13 [Other]. Please choose your top THREE choices to include in a St. Paul's project.

- [illegible]

- [illegible]

- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition - leave as grassland or low cost park additions
- Demolition of the building
- Demolition or facadism
- Demolition or facadism
- demolition/green space
- DEMOLITION/PARK SPACE
- DESTRUCTION
- Do not support
- Do not support
- Do not support
- Dog run
- Educational space that can be used by the Garden City Schools. There is a space issue with the schools. Possible uses could be: QUEST/gifted child space, special education space, speech/OT services, etc.
- every one of these options are available in the village
- Facadism
- Focus on science and learning about our natural environment. Humans have broached the safe limits for human pressure on six of the nine critical processes which together maintain a stable and resilient Earth. We are rapidly destroying our home (planet Earth). There can be no more important use of this facility than to teach people how to reverse this, not to find another venue for them to buy or make more stuff, or to give residents another entertainment facility.
- FOR K-12 STUDENTS AND ADULTS-HIGH SCHOOL AND OTHER COMPUTER LEARNING CENTER
- Game room like backgammon and chess
- GC ONLY 65 PLUS APARTMENTS
- GC village archives room
- Getting a number of quality artificial turf fields at St Paul's is a higher priority than the above items.
- Grass land and Garden
- High school
- I am supportive of a budget, neutral solution or one with minimal budget, implications
- I believe this building has done its time, and it's time to move on from it.
- I like some of the ideas, but not if the remodeled building. Spending less money to build a new building.
- I prefer to see the building demolished as the Village has missed the opportunity to save it in a cost effective way
- I support just keeping the facade with empty space behind for project in the future when feasible.
- ice hockey rink and indoor pool
- Ice rink (hockey/skating)
- I'm in favor of demolishing the building. At this point, it's become a money pit, and I believe the village can put those funds to much better use in more efficient and impactful ways.
- indoor batting cages. Garden City residents only. No child care. Do something with the building.
- Indoor family swimming pool.

- indoor field
- Indoor field and track
- Indoor pool
- Indoor pool
- Indoor pool
- Indoor pool/Indoor track
- Indoor practice facility
- Indoor soccer and lacrosse fields
- Indoor swimming pool
- Indoor track with turf field in the middle
- Indoor track/pool
- Indoor turf
- Indoor turf and track that will generate revenue
- Just want facade saved only.
- Knock it down
- Knock it down
- Knock it down
- Library or high school
- Library or high school
- LONG TERM STORAGE OF ANTIQUES-HIGH SCHOOL-MOVE GARDEN CITY HIGH SCHOOL
- Mixed use as event hall and performance stage theater
- Move Garden City high school to this location
- Move the Village Hall to St Paul's, library, and police. Sell the buildings.
- Multi-purpose indoor sports (physical fitness) center similar to the sports facility at St Anthony's High School. This would also include an indoor pool (provides general swim capability and lap capability)
- Museum
- My preference would be demolition.
- Need commercial space that generates revenue to lessen Village taxpayer capital and operating costs. Examples - high end restaurant/catering hall, local produce food hall and wines, coffee/desserts
- need demo
- no support for saving this building
- None please take it down.
- NONE-BUILD NEW AND TEAR DOWN
- not supporting
- open green space
- OPEN SPACE AFTER DEMOLITION
- Open space and Park land
- Outdoor fields only
- OUTDOOR TRACK, WALKING SPACE, COMMUNITY CONGREGATION
- Outside condo development with restored Saint Paul's as rec center open to all village residents. Rec center would get minimum financial support from village taxes.
- Park
- Parking lot
- parks/trails

- Pickleball courts
- Pickleball courts
- PILATES
- Place to throw sports, children, and celebration parties.
- Places to hold events other than the basement of the library
- Please rip down; build more fields
- Pool
- Pool
- Pool
- Pool
- Pool
- Pool
- Pool
- Prefer demolition
- Pre-K
- Pre-K
- Pre-K
- Preserve site for future use. Maybe a new middle school or high school when the time comes.
- Private rooms for hourly/daily office flex space. All Garden City only admittance.
- Program and play area for one to five year olds. Lacking during winter months.
- Relocate Garden City high school to St. Paul
- Rent space out to others
- Residential space
- REVENUE-GENERATING COMMERCIAL SPACES SUCH AS HIGH END RESTAURANT, COFFEE/BAKERY, SHOPS, LOCAL PRODUCE FOOD HALLS
- roller skating rink
- Room for displayed books on history of Garden City, photos, and articles
- Saint Paul's is a building looking for a youth, not a use looking for a building.
- Save the artifacts and tear it down
- SCHOOL
- school use
- Sell it to a developer
- senior activities
- Senior center
- Senior center
- Senior center
- Senior center. Replace existing.
- senior citizen space
- sports fields, basketball and pickleball outdoors
- Sports space like basketball. Indoor soccer. Open space
- support our swim team, build a pool
- Sustainable agriculture center, including educational facilities for children to learn about growing, harvesting, composting, etc.
- SWIMMING
- Swimming pool (at least 25 yards, for competitive swim, such as for Garden City High School swim team practices).

- TAKE IT DOWN
- TAKE IT DOWN AND MAKE A DUCK POND
- TBD in the future. Preserve now.
- Tear down and make park
- TEAR DOWN BUILDING
- tear down, use for sports field
- Tear it down
- Tear it down
- Tear it down
- Tear it down
- Tear it down
- Tear it down. It's past its use.
- Tennis and Pickleball courts
- Universal pre-K space
- Unknown
- Use the Cinema Arts Center in Huntington as an example.
- Use the space for a Community Ice (Hockey) Rink along the lines of the Garden City Community Swimming Pool
- Village Hall
- We do not support anything.
- We don't want any of these amenities
- We need an indoor turf space with a track around it. We also need an indoor pool. If those items are not compatible with the building, then the building needs to go.
- we need more school space not recreational space
- Will not support

Q3-7 [Other]. Please indicate how important each of these features is to your household.

- 1) The opportunity to preserve the Main Building passed a long time ago. Unfortunately, common sense did not prevail many years ago when its condition was better and preservation or revitalization was possible. Safe off the existing field house and allow the Main Building to erode over time or demolish it. 2) Preserve and update the existing adjacent field house so children and adults can enjoy this space year round for health activities and the Village can continue to use it for important matters like voting.
- A Community Ice Rink
- Adaptive space
- Additional curated green spaces
- Adelphi University has a wonderful indoor pool and gym. Village of Garden City offers use of its outdoor pool to faculty and students in exchange for use of indoor pool in winter.
- AI courses. Bowling alley.
- All of these facilities are either available elsewhere or could be fulfilled for far less cost if built from scratch vs. trying to adapt the existing building.
- Also would be a beautiful historical senior living facility
- An indoor Ice Hockey rink would be a tremendous addition to Garden City.
- An open field for sports or outdoor playground.
- Any renovation or restoration is too expensive, building must be demolished
- Anything that is self sustaining, and causes taxes to go down!
- Approx. 20 yrs ago, an assisted living facility owner/operator, Carematrix (sp?), would have rehabilitated the building for a certain number of residence pursuant to a lease. All of the current fields would have remained available as they are today; neither significant incremental traffic nor undesirable persons would have had reason to enter the Village. The "powers that then were" said "NO. We can't have 'Old People' living in St Paul's." All those voices are now "old" and likely many are no longer GC residents; those past "visionaries" cost the resident taxpayers of GC thousands of dollars in forgone lease and tax receipts, and incremental taxes to maintain an abused, condemned, deteriorating, now useless structure; BUT less in taxes than if they adopted any of their alternative ideas, e.g., such as are listed above (all of which are offered by the private sector to those who choose to pursue such activities). DO NOT INCREASE THE FIXED TAX BURDEN OF THE RESIDENTS.
- Auditorium
- Basketball
- basketball/baseball
- BATTING CAGES AND PITCHING/BASEBALL
- better school space
- Building should have been upgraded thirty years ago, too late now cost is prohibitive.
- Community space for children; indoor playground
- Community Theater (large enough to be useful)
- COMPUTER CENTER
- Consolidation of Admin for Schools
- CREATIVE ART CENTER-LIKE THE ART GUILD
- Dance hall
- DANCE, GYMNASTICS, GROUP CLASS ROOMS
- Demo existing building

- demo it, make outdoor space
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- demolish and erect a memorial
- Demolish building
- Demolish building
- Demolish St Paul's
- Demolish the building
- Demolish the building
- Demolish the building
- Demolish the building
- Demolish the building and rebuild new
- Demolish the building.
- Demolish the building. No more taxes!
- Demolish the structure. No interest in preservation of St. Paul's. Indecision and Entropy destroyed the structure.
- Demolish the whole building.
- Demolish. Demolish. Demolish. It is over 30 years the village has dragged this on and on, continuing to spend and spend as it disintegrates. Knock it down. The trustees will NEVER reach a conclusion. They've had over 30 years. Enough
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition - leave as grassland or low cost park additions
- Demolition and Facadism
- Demolition and Facadism
- Demolition is my preferred option.
- Demolition is the only acceptable option.
- Demolition is the only option
- Demolition of the building

- do not raise my taxes
- DO NOT SUPPORT
- Do not support saving or repurposing the building due to the fact that this building is beyond saving unless a private company takes over.
- Do not support, would be too costly. Facadism and demolition should be a choice
- Dog run
- even just the pool in the fieldhouse would be nice
- event hall
- Exhibition and performing arts
- existing gym with basketball courts
- FITNESS EQUIPMENT/FITNESS CENTER
- Fitness room
- fix the pool
- For Demolition.
- Future Middle School or High School. Future Village Hall.
- Garden City public services should be housed here, freeing up other properties to be developed by private developers. Move Police, Judicial, Education and other public works offices here. RFP the now open sites to commercial developers, using the developer acquisition funds to defray the cost of redevelopment of St. Paul's.
- Gym
- hockey
- Hockey rink
- Hot tub and sauna
- I am not interested in renovating this building.
- I do not see a needed use of st Paul's.
- I do not support any investment of taxpayer dollars in the St Paul's project.
- I do not support out local government developing this project at all.
- I don't support any of this
- I feel the costs to rehabilitate the building far exceed what would be a reasonable figure and cost to town residents, assuming residents will be paying for the rehab in the form of taxation. I also think the rehab follows a 'Ship of Theseus' concept.
- I support demolition
- I would like to building to be demolished. The cost is too great for any other option and I do not want to waste any more tax dollars maintaining the structure.
- I would like to see bike paths connected through St. Paul's and Garden City.
- Ice Hockey Rink
- Ice Hockey Rink
- Ice Hockey Rink
- Ice Rink
- Ice Rink
- Ice Rink
- Ice Rink
- Ice Rink
- Ice rink for hockey and figure skating
- ICE RINK/ROLLER RINK

- I'm in favor of demolishing the building. At this point, it's become a money pit, and I believe the village can put those funds to much better use in more efficient and impactful ways.
- indoor batting cages. Garden City residents only.
- Indoor family swimming pool.
- Indoor Hockey rink
- Indoor pickleball courts
- Indoor pickleball courts
- indoor pool
- INDOOR TENNIS COURTS
- It is a beautiful building but it is clearly too expensive to do anything with it. It makes the most sense to demolish and figure out a use for the property that won't be a drain on the village.
- It is recommended to demolish it directly. I don't want this village to be burdened with huge debts in the future.
- It is time to demolish this building.
- Just demolish it and make it a green space.
- Keep it just like what it is now
- Knock it down
- Knock it down
- LAKE/POND
- library
- Make a garden and outdoor space to have afternoon tea/ ice tea. Put pavers and a beautiful garden.
- Mindfulness events like yoga
- Minimum cost to ONLY preserve facade--there are no valid uses not served by other existing facilities in the area and any of the costs will increase taxes to make GC unaffordable. Taxes already too high; survey is HIGHLY FLAWED because there were no choices for either: Leave Alone and Do Minimal for facade preservation or demolition!
- move town hall here
- multi event space
- Multi functional space-music/events/meeting spaces.
- Multi use space. Event space. Sensory space. Rooms for rent for organizations. Areas for children and teens.
- Music and theatrical performances for residents .
- Need outdoor space, park like space
- Not interested in any amenities at St. Paul's.
- Not interested in the development of St. Paul's
- Not interested to support any renovation amid tariff chaos. Please save your money for the upcoming rainy days!!!
- open green space
- Outdoor parkland
- OUTDOOR PICKLEBALL COURTS
- outdoor space
- Park
- Parking lot
- Performance stage
- PERFORMANCE/STAGE SPACE FOR THEATRE

- Pickleball courts
- Pickleball courts
- Pickleball courts
- Pickleball courts
- Pickleball courts
- Pickleball courts
- Playgrounds, indoor
- Please demo the building
- pond or lake
- Pool - should provide a general swim capability and a lap capability (not necessarily competitive capability)
- Pool and Workout machines for GC resident use only, piano and musical instruments for resident use
- Prefer saving the facade and putting something behind in the future when feasible.
- Preference would be demolition
- Preservation
- REAL PICKLEBALL COURTS
- Rec facility/event hall for Town
- Recreation center
- Rehab Center
- Renovate for Theatrical Artistic enjoyment ...all of which should generate "income to the tax base" not burden residences with "unending expenses ". There are plenty of "gym and health facilities" in Garden City that provide physical therapy amenities, saunas, gyms, racquetball, pools etc. We have Eisenhower Park, which is has open fields, driving ranges, pools, etc.
- roller skating
- Sauna/steam room
- Sauna/steam room
- Sauna/steam room
- sauna/yoga studio
- Sell the property. Property taxes are too high
- SENIOR ASSISTED LIVING
- Senior citizen space
- Space for senior citizens and our care givers.
- STEM or Center
- take it down now
- Tear it down
- Tear the building down. It is a mess.
- Teen center
- Teen center
- Teen center
- Tennis courts
- The building should be demolished
- The building should be torn down and replaced with turf fields
- The opportunity for future mixed spaces perhaps for celebrations like children birthdays.
- The Recreation Department already has plans for tennis courts and pickleball courts so they are not needed at St. Paul's.

- There is no space for the community to have a healthy indoor pool space for senior and young kids in the winter months. This would provide a constant and positive revenue years round
- This thing should be knocked down and made into more parking.
- total demolition is the best way
- UNIVERSAL PRE K
- Use the Huntington Arts Center as an example.
- Want to demolish

Q8a-8 [Other]. Please indicate why you selected the “Will not support” answer option presented in Questions 4-7.

- 1. The Village will never adopt my preferred use of the structure (as noted above). 2. My taxes are outrageously high as is. 3. I don't know what the expected per capita or per household operating or capital expense would be. 4. The idea of forcing residents to pay to install yet another pool, golf facility, or other entertainment facility is infuriating.
- 30 years of no need
- 30 years too late, too much money already spent
- A useless interior is not worth any amount of money
- Agreement will never be reached as to what to do.
- All of the above
- All of the above
- All options don't make sense nor do I have any confidence in executive budget. I support demolition & rebuild a facility under green space.
- already mothballed
- As a resident for over 20 years, I have closely followed the ups and downs of the debate concerning St. Paul's. While I recognize and appreciate its historical significance to a certain group of residents, everything has an expiration date and, most importantly, the Village has other priorities. Those priorities are much more important than St. Paul's. Roads, water, and many other infrastructure needs exist. Those needs, which are essential to the quality of life in Garden City, must take precedence over St. Paul's. As a 35-year plus NYC metro area construction executive I instinctively know from experience the first costs presented to the residents are absurdly low. Additionally, there is no data to support the life cycle costs with a changed structure including electrical, heating, cooling, maintenance and more. Those costs will become a massive burden on the Village. Additionally, there is no municipality the size of Garden City on Long Island (~7,500 households) that has undertaken a project of this size, scope and fiscal magnitude. Simply put, the Village does not have the expertise and financial acumen to undertake a project of this size. The Village's leadership has a fiduciary responsibility to every resident to preserve the quality of life established in Garden City over 100 years ago. Ignoring these responsibilities to focus on St. Paul's is irresponsible. Ensuring the overall long-term health of Garden City is what must be prioritized. The St. Paul's excursion should be either abandoned or assigned to a private enterprise with the means to redevelop this parkland/facility into something useful for the Village. There is no benefit to the Village's residents that can justify the first and life cycle cost of this program.
- As a resident of Garden City now for 55 years (20 years living with my parents and attending school here & 35 years owning my own home here in Garden City where I raised 2 children) I am tired of seeing a so call "historic site" fenced in that is now dilapidated. There is absolutely no reason to spend another dime to keep it standing and it should have been demolished years ago. My selection is DEMOLITION.
- At the end of the day, our Trustees have limited experience here and have been less than transparent.
- BELIEVE COST ESTIMATES ARE LOW
- build a new modern building
- Building has deteriorated to the point of a disgrace and embarrassment. It is too far gone to consider repair. It has been an issue since the school closed in 1991. There even had to be an argument years ago to simply take down the metal girders that were rusted. Absurd. Most of the

trustees don't even know or remember that. The building is finished and should be taken down finding the least cost possible then looking into use of the land with the least cost possible.

- [illegible]

- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish and move on so many years wasted!
- Demolish and or facadism
- DEMOLISH AND START FRESH
- DEMOLISH BUILDING
- Demolish completely and add outdoor fields and indoor gym in future.
- Demolish it
- Demolish it
- Demolish it
- Demolish it
- Demolish it
- Demolish it
- Demolish it
- Demolish it
- Demolish it
- Demolish it
- Demolish it
- Demolish it
- Demolish it
- Demolish it
- Demolish it
- DEMOLISH IT ALREADY
- Demolish it and start over with modern facility
- Demolish it or facadism
- Demolish it, but save clock tower
- Demolish it. Community park
- Demolish or facadism.
- Demolish the building
- Demolish the building
- Demolish the building
- Demolish the building
- Demolish the building
- Demolish/demolition

- [illegible]

- [illegible]

- [illegible]

- [illegible]

- [illegible]

- [illegible]

- Demolition or facadism
- Demolition or facadism
- Demolition or facadism
- Demolition or facadism
- Demolition or facadism
- Demolition or facadism
- Demolition or facadism
- Demolition or facadism
- Demolition or facadism
- Demolition or facadism
- Demolition or facadism
- Demolition or facadism
- Demolition or facadism
- Demolition or facadism
- Demolition or facadism
- Demolition or facadism
- Demolition or facadism
- Demolition or facadism
- Demolition or facadism
- Demolition or facadism
- Demolition or facadism
- Demolition or facadism
- Demolition preferred
- Demolition Project or a Facade project to meet community needs with a modern facility.
- DEMOLITION. SELL CONTENTS AND USE MONEY TO DEMOLISH
- Demolition. Take down the building.
- Demolition-we want the land but do not need an old building.
- Did not accomplish much. Waste of money.
- Do not raise my taxes
- Do not raise my taxes
- DO NOT SPEND MORE MONEY WE CANT AFFORD
- Do not support mothballing
- Do not support mothballing
- Do not want substantial increase in village taxes.
- Do not want to alter beautiful building
- DONT LIKE ADDING ON TO OUTSIDE-UGLY
- DONT SUPPORT MOTHBALLING
- DONT WANT TAXES GOING UP
- Endless money pit. Demolish the building.
- exorbitant cost of options
- Expanding will look stupid
- eyesore
- Facade or demolish

- Facadism
- Facadism
- Facadism
- Facadism
- Facadism
- Facadism and demolition should be a choice
- GREAT TAX BURDEN ON FAMILIES
- Had our chance to save the building and we blew it! Move on.
- has caused discord among residents
- I believe we should demolish the building and create a park - lowest cost option please.
- I cannot afford the tax increases proposed.
- I cannot support any project except, removal and sale of anything with value and ultimately demolition.
- I do appreciate the architecture and historical significance of St Paul's. Nonetheless, I do not support the village taking on a major renovation of this magnitude. I have deep seated concerns as to the ultimate cost, and tax liability the residents of the village might well be assessed if the project encounters massive cost over runs. Perhaps if a decision had been made decades ago to save St Paul's, this project would have been financially viable. But the decades of indecision have taken its toll on St Paul's infrastructure. While I do not question the integrity and the hard work and efforts that went into the estimates presented, it is within the realm of possibility that the projected estimates of preserving St Paul's will fall woefully short. As such, sadly, I cannot support any of the above 3 options.
- I do not support demolition of any kind
- I do not support mothballing the building. That will only push the issue down the road as the building continues to deteriorate.
- I do not support spending 1/2 the money to mothball and my taxes increase still, when I can pay more and have a useful building.
- I do not want a tax increase.
- I don't particularly trust the board that has only 2 year terms to see a potential \$100mm project through multiple administrations. Additionally millions have already been wasted in consultants and advisory fees to get us no where. Knock it down and provide simple indoor field turf/basketball space and add the parking the existing grass fields need. Cluet, The Annex and all of the cottages are useless and consume too much good space.
- I don't want it to just sit there
- I favor demolition
- I have an empty unused building
- I have lived in the village for over 45 years and it has been sad to see such an important part of our village sit idle and continue to decay. That being said, I do not see any value in preserving just the facade with a virtual shell behind it.
- I only support demolition
- I want Saint Paul's fixed now
- I was in GC Middle School when St. Paul's closed. I have watched this building lay abandoned and deteriorating for 30+ years. There is NO WAY this building can be saved in a way that our small village can fiscally support. The only feasible choice is to demolish the building.
- I will not support Option C because it's an insane waste of space and resources to preserve a dead building and no one under 80 years old cares about its history in the village. Let's do something with the space that the community can actually use.

- I would not support mothballing, which incurs a huge up-front cost and likely still some ongoing maintenance costs to preserve a space that cannot then be used.
- If we are spending \$ on the project it should be made usable
- IF WE'RE PAYING FOR SOMETHING, I WANT TO GET A BENEFIT-MOTHBALLING DOESNT PROVIDE MY BENEFIT
- I'm in favor of demolishing the building. At this point, it's become a money pit, and I believe the village can put those funds to much better use in more efficient and impactful ways.
- INCREASED TAX BURDEN
- IT HAS BEEN TOO MANY YEARS THE BUILDING IS PAST SAVING
- IT IS A PAINFUL REMINDER OF WHAT COULD HAVE BEEN
- It is unattractive
- it needs outside management, not the town.
- It seems like the money for Partial Replacement in all cases is better spent towards building a facility from the ground up on what the community desires. Why behold ourselves to such an expensive coat? With that said, if we do go down the rabbit hole of Partial Replacement, we need to be ready to go all the way and do it right.
- It seems useless to fix the exterior of the building but not use the interior for something
- It's been a circus from the start, it's too far gone. It should have been done much sooner when it would have been more affordable. The Village has kicked the can down the road too long.
- It's time to move on and demolish the building.
- just demolish. mothballing is not worth \$30m
- JUST POSTPONING AGAIN
- Keep it as sports fields
- keep the facade
- knock building down
- Knock it down
- Main building should be demolished
- MAINTAIN EXTERIOR AND NEW CONSTRUCTION INTERIOR
- Massive expense to create and maintain
- Money pit
- Money pit
- Monthballing doesn't solve this issue. We believe we should spend the money to use the building.
- More fields
- Most, if not all, of the desired uses of the St. Paul's property are available elsewhere to those who desire to have them. The entire Garden City community should not be required to pay for things that only a few will use.
- Moth balling is a waste of money
- Mothballing continues this saga. Demolish it.
- mothballing does not benefit in anyway
- Mothballing does not help the community
- Mothballing gives us nothing - let's do this correctly and add use and value.
- Mothballing has significant costs and provides no immediate benefit to the community, so I can't support it.
- MOTHBALLING IS A WASTE
- Mothballing is a waste of money with unusable results
- Mothballing is a waste of money. I'd rather have it demolished.

- MOTHBALLING IS A WASTE-NO UTILITY
- Mothballing is expensive without a benefit
- Mothballing is procrastinating. I support fully renovating
- Mothballing is waste. Tear it down or make it useful. The town doesn't need a \$40 million dollar lawn ornament
- mothballing kicks the city
- Mothballing makes no sense
- Mothballing seems like a waste
- My preference is DEMOLITION
- Need a garden, like the westbury gardens. A place where residents can enjoy. Just like membership to the pool- have membership to residents for the garden. Our town name is Garden- let's have one.
- Need green space for public use
- NEED SAFETY
- NEED TO ADDRESS PROBLEM NOW
- need to invest and make it accessible
- need to make use of the building
- need to use space
- Neglect for the past several decades & the cost to bring up to date
- NO CONCRETE PLANS
- NO COST OF PARKING REQUIREMENTS AND PARKING PLANS
- No higher taxes
- No increase in taxes
- NO INTEREST IN THE BUILDING
- no mothballings
- no municipal purpose
- No options to only save façade
- NO POINT IN SPENDING MONEY ON A SPACE WE CANT USE
- NO PUDGET FOR PROGRAMMING
- No use for the building and increasing taxes don't make sense.
- not in favor of mothballing
- Not practical restoration or use of existing space.
- nothing for 30 years?
- Nothing in the proposal justifies the obscene cost to the town. You are trying to restore a historical building with modern amenities. I don't see the point in spending all of that money just to maintain the outside appearance to build some indoor facilities. Also, I feel that most of these are already available in the town and if they aren't I don't think we need to spend over \$75mm (most likely what it would need based on this proposal as the efforts would need to go towards the preservation of the exterior). If you want the amenities then build a more cost friendly option. Time to move on.
- ongoing maintenance will be significant
- option c is a waste of money
- Options were available for construction from companies.
- Overall cost and upkeep
- partial replacement is only logical solution
- PARTIAL REPLACEMENT NOT WORTH EXTRA COST

- PREFER DEMOLITION
- Prefer demolition so the space can be used as park ground and/or unblock opportunity for net new construction of community spaces in the future
- Preferred demolition
- preserve entire building
- preserve entire building
- preserve entire building
- Preserve the fields. The open space is priceless.
- Projects like these have uncontrolled scope and cost
- Redesign with sports fields
- Remodeling the building is a scam. Demolish.
- Remove building and look at other plans once it's down
- REMOVE BUILDING AND RETURN IT TO GREEN SPACE
- Renovate it
- Save money to survive from tariff chaos!!
- Sell it
- Should be demolished
- Should be gutted
- Smaller government all these things are available
- Start new. Change is good.
- support demolition
- Support Demolition of St Paul's, It's an Albatrose
- Surrounding area offers many similar amenities mentioned.
- Take down the building
- Tax potential too high
- Taxes are already too high
- Taxes are already too high. We are charged for the town pool and this would another cost to residents whether it is via taxes or membership
- Taxes are too high
- TAXES WILL BE RAISED ALOT MORE THAN PERCEIVED TO BE. PUT THAT MONEY INTO OUR SCHOOLS
- Taxes will go up. Demolish it or facadism.
- taxes would go up
- Tear it down
- Tear it down
- TEAR IT DOWN AND USE THE OPEN SPACE
- Tear it down/Demolish
- The has great historical significance to our town, and the building sat for so long abandoned now it is time to do the right thing and make it into useable space for the community
- The building should be demolished
- The building should be demolished. Sadly, it is impractical to try to save any part of it. The most efficient path forward is to demolish the building. We can then rebuild efficiently using a modular of even a 3D build. Times change, and we need to change as well and recognize that, despite the building's original beauty and historical significance, it just does not make economic or practical sense to salvage any of it.

- The Building should be used and refurbished inside and out. We do not support only exterior repairs.
- The cost estimates are not realistic. Demolish it.
- the current budget is not transparent, questionable, and suspicious
- The potential revenue from any of these legal uses will not support the substantial investment required for the presented options. Additionally, the expense of maintaining whatever option is built will likely be more than the potential revenue or community benefit.
- The village did not deem the building important enough to maintain it over the past 30 years. So now it's worth nothing.
- The Village has significant excess fixed cost structure needing annual support of the taxpayers, e.g., "recreation dept" which requires annual support but is habitually said to be self funding (or will be income positive; also it should be burden it with actual full costs as if a commercial operation); and the excess administrative and other unnecessary cost in the school district's operations (which schools are not "celebrated" as in the past).
- The village has wasted enough money on this project.
- The Village needs indoor field space with a track and an indoor pool. If those items are not included in the renovation, I don't see why the Village would spend millions of dollars on this building.
- This building is a Money-eating white elephant. It is time to demolish and move on to safer alternatives. Winter activities and parkland would be welcome.
- This has gone on too long.
- this has to end
- This question is confusing. Do not support Option B due to concerns over costs. Do not support Option C because we want a final resolution on St. Paul's.
- TIME FOR THIS WAS 30 YEARS AGO
- Too ambitious and don't trust this Village with a project this large.
- Too costly
- Too costly
- TOO EXPENSIVE
- too late
- Too little too late.
- Too much money
- too much money already wasted
- too much unknown costs/condition
- Total demolition
- Total demolition
- Total underestimate cost
- total waste of money
- Traffic
- use the building
- village does not need it
- Village has been carrying building over 25 years. Get rid of it.
- VILLAGE HAS TOO MUCH DEBT AND TAXES ALREADY TOO HIGH
- VOTED FOR FACADISM AND IT WAS REMOVED-NOW WANT DEMO TOO BAD
- Waited way too long

- WAITING TOO LONG-WASTE OF TIME AND MONEY-FOCUS ON OTHER PROJECTS THAT ARE USEFUL
- Waste of money
- Waste of money
- Waste of money
- Waste of money
- Waste of time and money
- WASTE OF TIME-MAKE A DECISION
- WE ALREADY SPENT TOO MUCH MONEY ON ST PAULS. DEMOLITION IS THE ONLY OPTION WE WOULD SUPPORT. WHY WASTE IT ON A SURVEY. AS A SENIOR, WE SUPPORT YOUR CHILDREN IN SCHOOL BUT SENIORS ARE LEFT OUT ON MOST OF THESE QUESTIONS. HAVE YOU EVER RIDDEN ON THE SENIOR CENTER SCHOOL BUS?ITS A DISGRACE
- We need outdoor space
- We need to renovate and use the building for Garden City residents only.
- We support DEMOLITION
- We would rather Saint Paul's be used by the town
- What good is an empty shell
- WHATS THE POINT OF SPENDING MONEY ON THE EXTERIOR IF INTERIOR IS UNFINISHED. IT WOULD BE SOMETHING NICE TO LOOK AT AS YOU DRIVE BY
- Why would you do all the work and not be able to use the interior!? Seems dumb!
- Will cost 4x estimate.
- WILL NEVER GET COMPLETED
- Will not pay for useless mothballing.
- Will not support
- Will not support
- Will not support Mothball option.
- WOULD LIKE TO GET SOME USE OUT OF SPACE-MOTHBALLING WILL NOT ACCOMMODATE
- Would like to preserve the building inside and out only.

Q12. Please share any other comments you would like to make:

- 1) We need a novel financing approach that does not put a large tax burden on the residents. Need to explore a capital campaign among the most affluent village residents to raise the initial \$20 million before the bond referendum is held thereby reducing the amount borrowed and related tax increase. 2) Consider obtaining alienation of parkland and pursue the following ideas to pay for a large portion of the renovation (leaving the first floor and the chapel open to the public as a community center): convert the upper floors to luxury condos, partner with NYU Langone and/or Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory to create a longevity research/education facility .
- 100% demolition. Add outdoor Sports fields, and Garden City Village can always build indoor gym or condos and future if residents voted for a new option. Demolition should have been an option.
- 1000 per year, would want to be billed separately yearly.
- 1000 to 1500 is reasonable
- 3 votes for demolition in this house
- 30 years ago, we would have supported some of these proposals, but lack of action in all of these years has changed our attitude about the building.
- 30 years too late.
- 31 years of no action is enough
- A 1,000 increase every year going forward is too much given taxes go up every year with other things.
- A binding vote must be put before the residents to make a final decision.
- A PORTION OF ST PAULS SHOULD BE RENOVATED TO HOST WEDDINGS AND BANQUETS WITH PRIORITY TO GC RESIDENTS AND A 40 PERCENT PREMIUM FOR NON RESIDENTS. THE BUILDING SHOULD GENERATE REVENUE AND BE SELF SUSTAINING A PORTION SHOULD BE USED TO HOST CORPORATE EVENTS/TRAINING/SEMINARS
- Actual cost will be double or triple these estimates. Should not force everyone to pay for nice to have but unnecessary amenities.
- Additional considerations and discussions should be had regarding out-of-town guests for use. Spaces could be rented out for sports teams practice or early childhood care, which we would support.
- After 30 years, demolition is the only viable option, especially in light of cost estimates which are speculative at best.
- After 40 plus years of indecision, I believe it is time to let it go
- After demolition of the existing structure, we would support a plan to develop a building that is newly constructed with a fiscally prudent budget and cost projections that are sound. Accessible to all, and include amenities most requested by villagers.
- Again, we support Demolition
- against all
- Alienate building and convert to apartments
- All three options are too expensive. I would like to leave the property as is.
- Allow us to have a pool. Don't raise my taxes for St. Paul's.
- ALMOST 50 PERCENT VOTED TO DEMOLISH THE BUILDING. THIS WAS 2008. IT STILL SITS. DEMOLISH AND PUT SOME SORT OF HOUSING PLUS 1/2 FOR MUNICIPAL USE
- Although I would not blame anyone, this just simply has gone on for too long. This building is now shot. Every resident of GC that our household has spoken to feels that this 35 year ordeal of costs and arguments needs to end with the building down and then to see about the most cost-effective way to use the land. The village had 35 years to put aside even half of the funds necessary to make

that or any plan happen. Those 35 years were squandered at the expense to the residents. A proper asking of the entire community should have done long ago, of all possible scenarios.

- Although the figures in 10e are over, 1k would be more comfortable.
- ALTHOUGH WE SUPPORT THE ADAPTIVE REUSE OPTION THE MOST, THE INCREASE OF TAXES HAS A FUTURE IMPACT ON THE SALEABILITY OF GC HOMES
- An idea, a historic museum.
- An indoor pool can be put in a location other than St. Paul's main building. Demolished St. Paul's main building. Keep the clock tower. Make a park with water, trees, and trails. This can be for children and adult adults. Display the windows at Village churches.
- An indoor pool is too specific., not for the general public. Only GC residents should have use.
- An opinion should be obtained from an architect in England or Germany.
- Any preservation should be paid for with user fees. All of the proposed uses are redundant of existing Village resources or of resources which are nearby, such as the pool in Eisenhower Park.
- Any renovation or restoration is too expensive, building must be demolished
- Any tax increases to residents of Garden City should be for essential needs, not pet projects for a minority of residents who have a special interest group. This is especially true of those residents who are influencing the decisions on St. Paul's through their status in Village government and their actions of posting videos, photos and other media messaging. It is clear they are presenting themselves as caring about St. Paul's because of its history, importance to Garden City, and how the improvements will make the lives of residents better. In reality, they are corrupted by the opportunity to help their businesses benefit, i.e. lawyers, architects, engineers, contractors, and others, by making St. Paul's and the taxes paid by their fellow residents a money trough for themselves.
- Any work on Saint? Paul's should have been done 30 years ago. Now it is too expensive.
- Anyone who feels strongly about preserving St. Paul's should feel free to donate generously towards this effort, and the Board of Directors should feel free to fundraise— however to force all Garden City residents to join in this effort with a potential tax increase of \$1000+/year is absolutely absurd and unfair as many people would be forced into a lot of financial strain. Residents should have an option to opt out as, speaking of our own situation, having a tax increase of potentially \$1000+/year would put is in a lot of financial stress. Not to mention, many might try to move out of Garden City and knowledge of such a steep village tax hike may reduce the resale value of our homes and deter buyers. Please think of those in the village who may not be able to afford this—what would we do?
- Architectural history is very important to a society and community and must be maintained. This improvement will add value to the community in the long-term.
- AS A SENIOR CITIZEN, THE MOST INCREASE WOULD BE UNDER 100\$
- As long as the services and options that are made available in a restored Saint Paul's, we are for the community and will support the cost of renovation because the value of the services exceeds the costs
- As senior citizens, we are unlikely to engage in many of the recreational activities mentioned, but we are supportive of facilities, including indoor pool to enhance community and property values.
- At this point spending 100 million to create something we don't need is way too expensive
- At this time, any financial increase would be a burden on the current taxpayers. School programs should be funded through school taxes.
- Avalon was willing to pay all costs to renovate the building, but the residents said no. That means that only private funding is the method that residents will accept.

- Based on my professional experience auditing construction contractors and large projects, there is no way anyone could possibly provide reasonably accurate estimates for the cost of any of the proposed options. It is my opinion that each of the proposed options have far too many unknowns that will significantly increase the cost. It is unfortunate, but we must demolish the building.
- BEING ABLE TO USE ST PAULS FOR INDOOR SPORTS FOR ALL AGES WOULD BE WORTH THE TAX INCREASES
- Best option is to sell the building and property to a company to build a rental or condo project
- Best to tear it down and design a lovely park in its place
- Board of trustees, take down this building
- BUILD MULTI MILLION DOLLAR TAX REVENUE GENERATING 1 FAMILY HOMES
- Building is rotting away, will only cause homeowners more money.
- Building is too big and too far gone to save. Maybe keep the clocktower as a memory.
- Building is too old, neglected too long. Too costly for renovations.
- Building needs to be demolished and grass planted. Restore Cluett Hall since it has functional purposes and is currently used for art, dance, voting, etc.
- Building needs to serve a purpose. Renovate inside and outside.
- Building should be demolished
- Building should be demolished
- Building should be demolished
- BUILDING SHOULD BE DEMOLISHED OR FACAD SALVAGED
- Building should be demolished. A new efficient building should be built to meet the communities interests.
- Building should be demolished; not sure why this is not an option
- Building should be fixed, made useful for residents. Why not get Adelphi involved? Offer them a large area for classes, etc.
- Building should be removed as Parkland at the state legislature. Garden City cannot afford.
- Building should be taken down
- BUILDING WAS NEGLECTED FOR YEARS. WHY? ITS DETERIORATED SO MUCH ITS TOO LATE AND TOO EXPENSIVE TO RESTORE
- Bulldoze it
- Cannot afford an increase
- Cannot afford increase if school taxes lowered to offset then fine
- Cannot support any tax increase as long as federal SALT deduction remains at \$10,000 and local, state, and county taxes remain at current levels or are increased.
- Charge focusing the facility or grounds.
- Chelsea Piers complex. NYC and Stamford Court.
- CHOICES OFFERED ARE NOT IN KEEPING IN THE 'BALLPARK' FIGURES OFFERED BY THE COMMITTEE
- Clearly, the most cost-effective approach is appreciated. We are willing to have our taxes increased to fund this, but then there has to be a limit.
- Closing existing library and move to St Paul's with expansion to include some amenities that were proposed such as Arts & Crafts studios. By closing existing library it could save tax dollars.
- COMPARE THESE COSTS TO THE MONEY SPENT OVER THE LAST 30 YEARS ON INDECISION AND MAINTENANCE
- Complete demolition of main building. Save a few architectural elements to be used to build a small addition to the gym building. A structure that would be dedicated to the history of GC.

Include clock tower elements and other significant elements as highlights seen at ground level in a museum like setting. The rest of the property used as athletic fields.

- [illegible]

- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- Demolish
- DEMOLISH SELL CONTENTS TO PAY FOR IT
- Demolish and make it a park. Reduce taxes.
- Demolish and make open green space.
- Demolish and move forward
- Demolish and move on!
- Demolish and/or facadism
- demolish building
- demolish building. make more sports fields and walking trail
- Demolish entire building
- Demolish it, but save the clock tower with landscape garden park.
- Demolish it. Green space and parking is all we need. Take care of the existing facilities in our town (including our schools).
- Demolish it. Spend less money building something brand new that will last even longer.
- Demolish main building and enhance field house.
- Demolish or bit Bud a state of the art community center
- Demolish the building
- Demolish the building
- Demolish the building
- Demolish the building
- Demolish the building
- Demolish the building
- Demolish the building

- [illegible]

- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition
- Demolition - leave as grassland or low cost park additions - taking on ANY additional optional costs at this time do not make any financial sense. If this village was a real business (which it should be treated as), this level of investment with little to no ROI would not be approved by any board.
- Demolition and a tax refund for wasting tax dollars on a money pit
- Demolition and an outdoor pool
- Demolition and build an outdoor park with turf, soccer and lacrosse fields
- Demolition and/or Facadism
- Demolition and/or Facadism
- Demolition is the best option
- Demolition is the best option
- Demolition is the best option

- Demolition is the only acceptable course of action that is acceptable to me.
- Demolition is the only affordable option.,
- Demolition is the only fiscally responsible choice for Garden City.
- DEMOLITION IS THE ONLY OPTION THAT MAKES SENSE
- demolition is the only option we would support
- Demolition is the only reasonable option after decades of neglect. False misleading information to save the building brings out the worst in GC.
- Demolition is the only thing we support.
- DEMOLITION IS THE ONLY VIABLE OPTION
- Demolition is the option. We already have a pool. Time for it to go.
- Demolition is the way forward
- Demolition of the building
- Demolition of the building
- Demolition of the building
- Demolition of the building
- Demolition only
- Demolition or facadism
- Demolition or partial restoration options only. These options presented are not acceptable. If they are the only choice, then we choose demolish.
- Demolition preferred
- Demolition should be an option
- Demolition should be an option on the survey
- Demolition should be back on the table due to the insufficient information on total cost and rising construction prices
- Demolition would heal our community. why was that not included as a choice.
- Demolition! Cost is too high and building is not worth saving.
- Demolition, building should be demolished now.
- Demolition. This has gone on long enough.
- Demolition. This is a waste of time.
- Demolition. This will be a tax and financial burden for us if left standing.
- Demolition/facadism
- Demolition of entire building
- DESTROY THIS GRAND BUILDING WILL DESTROY THE VILLAGE'S ROOTS
- Do it right, or don't do it at all.
- DO NOT BELIEVE TAX INCREASE ESTIMATES ARE REALISTIC. VILLAGE AND SCHOOL TAXES HAVE BEEN INCREASING STEADILY FOR THE LAST FEW YEARS. WE DO NOT NEED ADDITIONAL BURDEN LIKE ST PAULS
- do not do the 15 year bond- please do 30 year bond
- Do not let Saint Paul's lay dormant. Renovate to all usefulness to the village.
- Do not raise my taxes. No one wants this. It's a waste of taxpayers money to keep it.
- Do not spend and waste other people's money!
- Do not support
- Do not support any demolition of the building. Putting building to Youse will greatly appreciate Home values and keep a historic, beautiful building in our community.
- Do not support membership to non-residents but do support restoring building with event and performance space that can be rented out to residents or non-residents.

- Do not waste money for what we do not need.
- Do something to make this one beautiful building functional again
- DO YOU KNOW THE SAYING THAT IS CIRCULATING AMONG NEIGHBORS? THE GARDEN CITY GOVERNMENT CARES ABOUT RESIDENTS - THEY ONLY INCREASE TAXES AND TAKE MORE MONEY FROM US. WHERE THE MONEY WENT? SCHOOL LUNCH IS TERRIBLE. DO NOT SPEND MONEY ON THE BUILDING
- Don't favor demolishing the building but my family and I already have high taxes so we are not in favor of anything that drastically increases our taxes further. We have a great yard and are happy with the town pool and existing parks the town already has to offer...therefore spending money on St. Paul's is not a priority for us but we understood that others may feel differently.
- Don't spend too much money. No pool or hockey rink please.
- Eisenhower park has an indoor aquatic center that can be utilized by GC residents
- Either make it useful or tear it down. It is becoming an eyesore
- End this saga. Demo the building. It is time.
- Enough already. The best option was assisted living years ago. this place is an anchor of our community.
- Enough money and time has been spent on this. It is time to move on and demolish this white elephant
- Every tax dollar Village Trustees add to a resident's property and school taxes can be converted into a decrement in the fair market value of the underlying house and land. The minority who today think it a good idea to increase the carry cost of Village operations, rationalizing that more services will lead to greater desirability of the community, are making an emotional judgement. The State of New York, City of New York and Nassau County economies are increasingly dependent on government deficit spending and a hope that "wealth consuming" healthcare services and retirement communities will maintain the incomes of residents; this is the same "pipe dream" of the last 30 + years. Incurring debt for municipal "like to have" projects in today's economic climate is gross mismanagement and a breach of the fiduciary and statutory duties the trustees owe the residents (current and future).
- Extend Chelsea Road North, subdivide and sell 10 to 12 lots for building single-family houses that back up to existing houses on Hampton Road.
- Facade only-demolish the rest
- Facadism or demolition should be a choice.
- Facadism was poorly presented.
- Fieldhouse for kids, basketball, etc. Dance studio and arts and crafts for kids
- Figures are not accurate
- FINANCIAL PLAN SHOULD INCLUDE HISTORIC TAX CREDIT EQUITY O DEFRAID/MITIGATE CAPITAL AND OPERTAING COSTS OF ST PAULS REHAB/COMMUNITY CENTER. VILLAGE WOULD BENEFIT FROM PROFESSIONAL EXPERTISE OF TAX CREDIT SYNDICATION/INVESTOR. VILLAGE SHOULD LEASE BUILDING TO A NOT FOR PROFIT CONSERVANCY TO GENERATE CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS AND GRANT SUPPORT
- Financial plan should include historic tax credits, federal/state grants (ESD funds) and grant money from private foundations/not for profits. Need to create a Village conservancy/not for profit to lease building from Village to encourage charitable contributions from residents and local businesses.
- Find a buyer that can use it as a battery center or data center. Provide new cash stream.
- Find alternative funding. Look to cut ballpark numbers in half.
- Fix it or demolish it

- Fix Saint Paul's now.
- FOR OVER 100 YEARS, ST PAULS SERVED AS A FUNCTIONAL SCHOOL. IT CAN NOW BE A SOLUTION TO OUR VILLAGES SCHOOL DISTRICTS CAPITAL NEEDS AS WELL AS ASPIRATIONAL FUNCTIONS THAT WILL MAKE OUR VILLAGE UNIQUE AND THE QUALITY OF LIFE SUPERIOR
- For these specific projects, I don't support a tax increase. if demolition and rebuild are an option, I would consider a tax increase
- For years we are allowing this building to deteriorate and paying high taxes for it's existence. It is time to do something.
- From my perspective as a marketing person, I believe the campaign should have addressed the value of what can be saved rather than how easy it was to hammer it down.
- Fundraisers
- Garden City and Nassau County offer many recreational and cultural activities. We do not need additional facilities. Demolish it.
- Garden City needs a garden for resident members only and paid guests.
- GC should not make same mistake like NYC. took destruction for them to realize the mistake of destroying historic buildings. The trustees must look to the future, do not destroy history.
- GCHS is falling apart due to neglect and band-aid construction projects. If we move GCHS to St. Paul's with a complete remodel, you could then sell part of the land at GCHS to a housing development for 55+ and help cover costs while fulfilling a need in the community for some of the aging parents without adding to the school population.
- Get rid of building
- Get rid of the building. Build homes that generate income for the village and may reduce taxes.
- Great investment in our community. would increase property values
- Hard to shoulder more taxes. Young families can't afford to live here as is.
- Has any consideration been made to enclosed the existing adult pool with a retractable roof? What about adding cabanas to attract more residents.
- Has been a point of contention for over 25 years. We cannot afford any increase in our taxes.
- Have the state pay for the rehab or have those interested in preserving the building pay for it. The costs should not be forced on everyone. Not everyone has the ability to pay for an increase of their taxes or needs the space.
- Having followed closely all the information provided, including an online and Garden City, newsletters, articles, etc., we are completely opposed to any option but demolition.
- "Hell no" should be an option for the three levels of options under 10c on this page.
- If people are honest (and if they can do math), almost no one would consider Option B, financed with a 10-year bond, worth the cost of more than \$4.50/day (for my home), every single day, for the next 15 years for this work (not to mention extra costs for operations and per-use fees)."
- Hire a landscaper
- Historic agricultural features. Preserve and restore all, repurpose building as a community and recreational center. Emphasize cultural programs, educational, senior and youth programming.
- Historic architecture plays a vital role in a community for several reasons, namely, cultural identity as it reflects the communities heritage.
- HOW MANY YEARS-15 OR 30 YEARS
- How to rebuild will be much higher than anticipated. No use for the building. Try to find a need for it, but there is none. Waste of money.
- I (WE) HAVE LIVED IN GC FOR OVER 50 YEARS EACH. WE HAVE NO ATTACHMENT TO ST PAULS AT ALL. WE HAVE NEVER BEEN INSIDE. DONT CARE ABOUT IT

- I already provided my thoughts in the other comment section. Option A and B are being used to justify the exorbitant price to preserve the exterior. We don't need it. It's a beautiful building that should have been maintained year ago to avoid this. I'm sorry to say, but it is time to move on.
- I AM A VERY SENIOR RESIDENT, BUT LOVE THE BUILDING-I TAUGHT KINDERGARTEN AT ST MARYS AND ST PAULS PRIOR TO LOSING-I BOUGHT A HOUSE HERE BECAUSE OF THE HISTORY AND BUILDINGS
- I am a widow and my taxes are high enough
- I am excited for the opportunity to refurbish this landmark. We need to invest to make our Village a more desirable location.
- I am retired on a fixed income and already have difficulty meeting expenses.
- I am sick and tired of this discussion for the past 25 years. KNOCK IT DOWN.
- I am supporting the estimated taxes for adaptive
- I am tired of talking about it and watching it rot to the ground. We need to fix it and make it usable space or tear it down.
- I am torn about letting non city residents to use the facility. I would like to learn about how much access an outsider would get.
- I am willing to pay my share of abatement and demolition costs.
- I am willing to support for the good of the village
- I believe adaptive reuse is the best option to provide long term benefit to the Village.
- I believe and aquatic center is the best option that would satisfy more residents young and old.
- I believe it should be preserved and money should not be a big factor.
- I believe the building should be demolished
- I BELIEVE THE BUILDING SHOULD BE DEMOLISHED
- I believe the facility should be run to be cash flow neutral. Leasing out space and charging fees to generate revenue.
- I believe there may be more options beyond the three that were provided. Have any feasibility studies been conducted by third-party engineering firms? If so, are those reports readily available?
- I believe we should renovate the building so we can use it
- I believe you are purposely underestimating costs.
- I cannot afford to live here now, a tax increase will make me move to another town or state.
- I can't believe people want to tear St. Paul's down. Please do everything you can to save it.
- I do not believe that the village has the political infrastructure, political will, or the support of a majority of the village residents to develop either of the first two options, and mothballing is a complete waste of money. The level of acrimony and distrust that has developed makes compromise impossible. I do not believe mothballing and kicking the decision down the road makes any sense. I believe demolition, unfortunately, is the only end result - either through decisive action now or that the building will eventually self-destruct due to ongoing reviews from new committees, new mayors, new trustees and new estimates. I think the amount of time we, as a community, have spent on this could have been better spent on smaller projects that are supported by the majority and would add to the amenities in this village.
- I do not believe that these options are worth it all. I support demolition of St. Paul's.
- I do not believe the estimates are correct, would be significantly higher. See the property to a developer.
- I do not believe these cost estimates.
- I do not favor restoration in any form. Prefer demolition, redesign property

- I do not know anyone who went to the school.
- I DO NOT KNOW HOW THIS PROJECT WOULD AFFECT RENTERS. WE ALREADY PAY A LOT FOR RENT AND DO NOT SUPPORT THE LANDLORD RAISING RENT TO COVER MORE TAXES
- I do not see any option to preserve the facade of front and demo the rest. This re-use will cause traffic congestion.
- I do not see the need for more facilities. It seems we are only doing it to preserve the exterior.
- I do not support outside people using the amenities at St. Paul's.
- I do not think allowing non residents to help pay and have use of our facilities is an acceptable way to fund this project. I would be against any suggestion to allow that to happen. The future St. Paul's will be the center and pride of our Village, for GC residents and their guests when appropriate.
- I do not want the building demolished
- I don't like the idea of taking down any part of St Paul's only to build something new that is likely to be a mismatched, much more modern & ugly structure. The GC library is brick and it's perfectly functional as a library but that doesn't mean it would be appropriate for something like that to be built on to the back of St. Paul's To me it makes sense to use as much as we need if what's there which of course entails updating etc.
- I DONT SUPPORT ANY INCREASE FOR A PROJECT THAT WILL NEVER BE COMPLETED AND DOESNT HAVE REAL ESTIMATES
- I don't trust the village to complete the project on budget and the idea of having non-GC residents pay to use a hypothetical facility is awful.
- I DONT WANT ANY TAX INCREASE
- I enjoyed running around the outdoor track at St Paul's during the 1970s, which gives me a fondness for the building
- I favor demolition
- I favor demolition of St Paul's in its entirety
- I feel the building exterior should be restored to its original beauty. It is a symbol of Garden City. Adaptive re-use is a bonus can be utilized by everyone in town....
- I feel the potential tax burden on the village residents is unconscionable.
- I find it appalling that this has been going on for over 25 years and zero progress. The community voted several times to keep it so start fixing it. Seems other places get stuff done yet. We can't seem to even get started in 25 years, which is absolutely ridiculous. Our politicians need to start listening.
- I generally do not support the idea of allowing non-GC residents to use or access the building, UNLESS village residents were given priority in all regards AND non-residents had to pay significantly more. Also, how would that be enforced? The parks and pool, for example, are for village residents only, yet I often see large groups of non-residents playing at Edgemere Park. Would be nice if these rules were enforced consistently to ensure fairness. Also, not sure how much of a tax increase we'd support (if any), since we don't know what each option (A, B, or C) will eventually provide in terms of facilities. If they're facilities we're not likely to use, then we probably wouldn't support an increase, since we wouldn't be using the building.
- I grew up here and raised my 3 kids here. For the last 30 years the town has been floundering on what to do with St Paul's. We don't need more meeting rooms. The town should be investing in the schools that were built in the 50's.
- I grew up in Cathedral Gardens and I understand the emotional attachment to a iconic building that has been there since 1879. The Iconic Garden City Hotel was torn down and we all seemed to survive that. My husband and I moved herein 2014, and what we have witnessed is utter chaos

with the decision making process. We feel there is no other option but to tear down this building that has become an eye sore, if not, this building will fall down on it's own, making the final decision for all of us. I live in an Olive Tjaden tudor (which I absolutely love) and the new generation of buyers are more that happy to tear those down. The landscape of Garden City is changing rapidly with new builds, which are primarily comprised of white colonials. The new buyers have no affinity to anything that is vintage. Create some type of space where the older generation can pay homage to St. Paul's

- I GREW UP IN GC AND STILL LIVE HERE. I'M 62 YEARS OLD AND HAVE NO INTEREST IN RENOVATING THIS NEGLECTED, FALLING DOWN MONEY PIT. MY LATE HUSBAND AND I DID VOTE- IN 1993- FOR VILLAGE TO BUY IT. NEVER EVER THOUGHT THEN THAT IT WOULD BE DORMANT AND ROTTING FOR 32 YEARS LATER. AND THE COST? I CANT AFFORD IT
- I have lived here my whole life and I cannot see this building get demolished. Thank you for your hard work!
- I have lived in Garden City 40 years attended meeting in '86 about to do with St. Paul. Village cost handle a project of this size.
- I HAVE LIVED IN GC FOR 20 YEARS AND HAVE NEVER STEPPED A FOOT INTO ST PAULS. T RAISE A SON WHO IS NOW 19 AND WE DO NOT NEED ANY OF THE FEATURES PRESENTED
- I have lived in the village for most of my 90 years. The time to demolish St Paul's is long past. Please bring this embarrassment to an end.
- I have mentioned before that many of us travel around the world to see history. Therefore it is our responsibility as Garden City residents to keep our history standing!! It is time Cornelia Stewart can rest in peace??
- I have no confidence that town can handle any project in budget nor is restoration a good use of fund us a demolition & rebuild of space and a great fields. Sometime renovation despite historical makes no sense.
- I HAVE NO INTEREST IN PAYING MORE TAXES TO MAINTAIN A BUILDING FACADE WITH NO USABLE ASPECTS. I'D RATHER KNOCK IT DOWN AND BUILD A GREAT COMMUNITY SPACE
- I have severe reservations that the town can oversee such a job.
- I hope just the facade is saved like done all over Europe. At this time we do not want tax increase on already high taxes. Any interior items of value can be displayed elsewhere in the village or sold.
- I HOPE THE VILLAGE WILL EXPLORE EVERY OPPORTUNITY TO FIND FUNDING OUTSIDE OF TAX REVENUE TO HELP DEFRAY THE COST
- I hope these figures include allowing for new tariff concerns.
- I only support demolition
- I prefer demolition
- I really hope we finally make something great with St Paul's.
- I RENT SO I ASSUME THIS WILL MAKE RENT GO UP TO SUPPORT INCREASED TAXES
- I run one of the largest municipal Bond underwriting desks on Wall Street and have seen firsthand the difficulties cities have faced by taking on unnecessary debt to fund non-essential projects. Be very careful.
- I see no value in this building whatsoever. I hope a sinkhole opens up directly below it and ends this discussion.
- I strongly support demolition
- I support a tax increase which would result in residence use of improved facilities as long as the use doesn't result in additional membership fees for residents on top of the tax increases.
- I support any plan for community use

- I support demolishing. Has gone on over 30 years.
- I support demolition
- I support demolition
- I support demolition
- I support demolition
- I support demolition
- I support demolition of the building and making it green space
- I support demolition taxes are too high. We do not need to spend any more money!
- I support maintenance of existing fields and gym. The remaining buildings are decrepit and far too costly to rehabilitate. If that were done, would only benefit a small percent of residents
- I support making Saint Paul's beautiful again
- I support Option B only if cost is as reported- I do not support A or C
- I supported saving the building for so many years, but now we have waited way too long. I think we should knock it down and build a beautiful park with parts of the building shown at some spots.
- I think it is time to stop with the nonsense. It is an old building that should be knocked down. Before we work on restoring an old building, we should make sure all the schools have the basics.
- I think knocking the building down and replacing it with a new modest building that includes a similar gym & conference room like we have in Clett Hall is a reasonable choice.
- I think the Board and their consultant did a terrific job
- I think the St. Paul's property is an architectural gem that needs to not just be saved, but refurbished to its former grandeur. I am not at all opposed to a public/private option in order to save and redevelop the site. There is tremendous potential here for the Garden City community and it would be a terrible shame to let that opportunity pass by.
- I think we are in dire need of a teen center, something for winter months, especially. An indoor all year-round pool for residents only. Anything held in this or around this facility should be residents only.
- I TRULY APPRECIATE THE EFFORT THE BOARD IS MAKING TO FINALLY GET AN ANSWER FOR ST PAULS. HOWEVER, I VOTED TO DEMOLISH. I ANSWERED THE QUESTIONS KNOWING DEMOLITION IS NOT HAPPENING
- I understand that around 200 will be necessary to demolish
- I very much like the idea of converting into a community space. Please visit Precisionstone.net
- I view St Paul's in the same light as I do the Statue of Liberty, Ellis Island and Grand Central Station- worth saving.
- I vote for demolition
- I voted to demolish the building multiple times. In my opinion this remains the only viable option.
- I want a casino and a dispensary for marijuana
- I want demolition.
- I want the place to be demolished.
- I want to demolish
- I was born and raised in garden city and have returned to raise my own family. The preservation of St. Paul's is very important to me - it is part of what makes our village the wonderful community it is. It would be heartbreaking to see it demolished. We pay very high taxes to maintain our village's culture and beauty and this is just another part of what we've all already "bought into". I would be HAPPY to pay extra taxes to preserve an iconic structure with incredible materials and craftsmanship inside.

- I was told that plans to include event spaces would be blocked because of the Garden City Hotel. I hope this is not true.
- I will accept any short term, 2 to 3 years, tax increase to fund the demolition of this building.
- I will not support a building that should have had all these changes made years ago when the costs were lower.
- I will not support any of the options presented here. Must be demolished for the good of the community
- I will not support any tax payer dollars to be used for the St Paul's project
- I will only support demolition
- I wish this was 20 years ago when my family could have benefited more from these potential options.
- I would not like a large tax impact, I strongly believe in the value³ and necessity for restoring St Paul's
- I would be willing to increase 100 per month/1200 per year
- I would like for the village to find other ways to also help offset the cost of this project. For example, if it were possible to move some current village offices/spaces like the library to St. Paul's, I would expect the village to sell those existing buildings / land to help finance this project
- I would like the building to draw some revenue so it can help support this renovation. This would be a win-win for the community.
- I would like to see this used as a community space and option a or B. Mothballing does not seem like a useful investment at this time.
- I would not support paying any amount to mothball. Will pay higher amount in taxes for space to use
- I would pay over \$1,500 if the plan was a really nice facility.
- I would rather fix issues such as lead pipes for clean drinking water, bringing back Friday nights on 7th etc..... I can go on and on. Then spend money on a building that will put my generation and the next in debt. This just seems like wasteful spending and while we are all paying for it over 20 years the trustees will be long gone
- I would support \$400 increase
- I would support a reasonable tax increase for demolition. I do not support saving the building.
- I would support a tax increase to tear down St Paul's and build a new modern athletic and activity complex. the preservation cost is too much
- I would support any improvements. Any cost. Please do something, do not let it sit another 50 years.
- I would support demolition, propose a park with turf fields, walking paths. Would also like a pond and pickleball courts. Room for playground, picnics, people of all ages enjoying the space.
- I would support funding / taxes for demolition, with the option of building a new facility. But I do not support maintaining the existing structure.
- I would support funding a full demolition and/or net new construction of community spaces (outdoor or indoor). But I do not support funding a shell of a building (option c) or an inevitable money pit (option a + b) no matter how beautiful it once was. It's 30 years too late and time to look to the future. The ghost of St Paul is stuck in purgatory and it's time for it to move to the afterlife.
- I WOULD SUPPORT MAYBE 200-300\$ INCREASE
- I'm deeply disappointed by the proposed tax increase being imposed on our village residents. I chose to purchase my home here because of the strong schools and sense of community—not with the expectation that my property taxes would skyrocket and potentially diminish my home's

value. No one invested in this village planning for unaffordable taxes to threaten the stability and future of our neighborhood.

- ID LIKE AN OPTION OF FULL REPLACEMENT. WHILE I LOVE THE CURRENT ARCHITECTURE, WE CAN BUILD AN EVEN MORE BEAUTIFUL BLDG MUCH CHEAPER AND MORE EFFICIENT
- I'd like to see more research and ideas on the revenue that could be generated from the refurbishment of St. Paul. I feels as if this is an unexplored talking point that could offset some taxes.
- If and when building is safe to occupy, I propose having an annual or buy annual balls/fundraisers to maintain
- If any tax increase, I would like to spend on school rather than such a wasteful money sinking project
- IF NON GC PEOPLE ARE ALLOWED, IT SHOULD ONLY BE IF THERE IS 'ROOM' AFTER GC RESIDENTS SIGN UP. INDOOR POOL, WHICH I DONT SUPPORT, SHOULD BE AT A SEPERATE LOCATION. WHY DOES POOL OFFER A 20 YEAR BOND? RENTING POOL TO NON-GC SHOULD BE DURING HOURS NOT NEEDED BY GC
- If nonresidents are provided access, there should be a limit or a guaranteed number of spots available for residents.
- IF OUTSIDE RESIDENTS WILL BE USING FACILITY, WHICH IS GOOD BUSINESS FOR GARDEN CITY, THEN GARDEN CITY RESIDENTS SHOULDN'T SHOULD THE TAX BILL
- If the goal is to save the building then the village should sell it to a private investor who will pay to save it. This has been an eyesore and tax burden long enough.
- If the project is going to require a tax increase now but the building work will take many years before residents can access/use/benefit maybe a 30 yr bond makes more sense since some ppl will sell and move and not use it. If it can be rapidly improved a 15 yr bond is more efficient (less interest. Less cost overall)
- IF THEY DONT GET THEIR WAY, THEY WILL TRY AGAIN
- IF UNIVERSAL PREK IS MANDATED, THEN USING ST PAULS FOR THAT PURPOSE SHOULD BE EXPLORED
- If we allowed people that do not live in town to use/rent the space, I would be in favor of that if and only if Garden City residents/teams always have priority over non-residents.
- If we must increase annual Village property, tax, residents should be able to enjoy the upgrades. Hairy residents pay for monthball, then nobody can use the space is a huge waste of money.
- If you are going to sink money into Saint Paul's, have a purpose before doing so. Find a way to reduce taxes.
- I'm a senior citizen and fixed income and support adaptation as a year-round community center for all.
- I'm fairly certain that the cost estimates are grossly underestimated. My concern is that over extending the village credit will leave us vulnerable. Should another property come up for sale.
- I'm in favor of demolishing the building. At this point, it's become a money pit, and I believe the village can put those funds to much better use in more efficient and impactful ways.
- I'm in favor of saving St. Paul's but because our taxes are high enough, I would be unable to help pay for this.
- I'm so happy for the village who was taking a leadership role to make Garden City a stronger place to live
- In 2008 of a vote was taken, and the result was to demolish it. That didn't happen. Our voice was heard and disregarded. This cannot happen again. Demolish it.

- In 30+ years, there has not emerged a set of compelling uses for StP that are not being already met in the community. The cost of any of the alternatives and options is not supportable given this. The facade is worth saving for its image--only leave the building as is and do necessary repairs to prevent further water damage from the roof; the structure is strong enough to survive without any further costly work. The ONLY VALUE is the front image--the interior is 0 value given no compelling uses and cost to restore.
- IN ORDER TO MAKE THIS PROJECT FINANCIALLY FEASIBLE FOR RESIDENTS, THE FACILITY MUST BEING IN REVENUE TO OFFSET THE CONSTRUCTION COST AND TAXES
- IN SUPPORT OF DEMOLITION
- In the town of East Hampton homes sold over a certain price pay a 2- 2.5% transfer tax that goes to the town. The funds are used to preserve open space, protect historic places, and provide recreational opportunities. I think it has been very successful. I know it is a vacation community but maybe something like that could be implemented to help with funding construction?
- Indoor field space is so needed in Garden City and we really should make that happen at St. Paul's. Old dorm rooms could be rented to residents to house friends or family for a temporary visit.
- Indoor pool and outdoor pond for skating and fishing
- Indoor pool is not necessary. GC does not have enough turf fields.
- instead of putting a lot of money toward St Paul's, our school are falling behind others.
- Issue bonds, do not apply cost to property tax
- It has become a 30 year albatross. Get rid of it.
- It has been over 30 years in deciding what to do with St. Paul's. Enough is enough, demolition is the only way.
- IT HAS OUTLIVED ITS USEFULNESS. FUTURE RESIDENTS WILL BE GRATEFUL FOR DEMOLITION. LET GO OF THE PAST. IT IS, HAS BEEN AND WILL BE A FINANCIAL BURDEN. BRING GC INTO THE FUTURE AT STEWART WOULD DEMOLISH IT
- It is a bad idea to increase people's already HIGH taxes to do anything to this building. You are admitting you have no real idea how much any of this work will cost. This means there is no real idea how much taxes would go up to pay for it.
- IT IS ABOUT TIME SOMETHING IS DONE-ANYTHING IS BETTER THAN IGNORING THE BUILDING UNTIL IT FALLS DOWN. A POOL FOR OUR SWIM TEAMS WOULD BE A MAJOR BONUS
- It is disingenuous to not include demolition as an option. We believe that is the practical solution.
- It is gradually deteriorated to the ugly façade. It is now. I don't believe the current residents care that much about this relic.
- It is not the right time to spend this much money on the project.
- It is now cost prohibitive. The town procrastinated too long. We are on fixed income.
- It is time (actually way past time) to let it go. We need to move on.
- IT IS TIME TO DEMOLISH THE BUILDING
- IT IS TIME TO DEMOLISH THE BUILDING
- It is time to tear down. It has already cost too much.
- It is time to tear it down, 30 years of controversy.
- It is too expensive to save it.
- It needs to be demolished
- IT SHOULD BE TAKEN DOWN AND MADE FIELDS FOR SOCCER, LACROSSE AND FIELD HOCKEY
- It should have been done in the 90's
- It should have been turned over to a private entity.

- IT WAS UNDECIDED FOR 30 YEARS. NOW COSTS HAVE QUADRUPLED TO FIX. TEAR IT DOWN AND BUILD STATE OF ART. THE CITY COUCIL NOT EQUIPPED TO MAKE DECISIONS OF THIS MAGNITUDE
- It will be too costly to do any renovations. Either way the village does not need any additional space
- IT WOULD REALLY DEPEND UPON EXACTLY WHAT OPTION B ENDED UP INCLUDING
- It's a lovely building, but we missed the boat in leasing it and have them restore the façade
- It's been over 30 years and you're still talking about what to do with the building. Give it up. Tear down the building.
- It's been over 30 years please take it down.
- It's beyond repair. Move on-move forward. Your legacy will be bankruptcy.
- It's high time to do something. Part of what makes this town great is our many wonderful amenities. But nothing comes for free. We can't let the folks who are unwilling to pay incrementally more in taxes steer this conversation any longer.
- It's time to let it go. This is gone on too long. The cost is way too much.
- It's time to say goodbye to St. Paul's. The cost to support this initiative will overwhelm our town.
- It's time to take the building down
- It's time to tear it down and rebuild a new structure
- It's very important to our family that we invest in communal space for the kids to gather, learn and play. We will pay more taxes if it means the space can be usable. It's very sad and frustrating that we are preserving a dead building right now with no plans to invest in making the space useable.
- ITS WORTH SAVING-ONCE ITS GONE, ITS GONE
- I've answered these questions to the best of my ability with the information available, though I would need more details to provide complete answers. Having lived in Garden City since 1945, I know that St. Paul's is integral to our town's identity and uniquely defines our community. That's why I believe it's crucial that we preserve the current look and feel of this magnificent building while making it functional for our residents where feasible.
- I've lived here for 25 years. When I bought my first house the broker told me they would be "fixing" St Paul's. That was 25 years ago. Knock the building down and build a suitable modern facility that the town can use rather than going backwards. I would be happy to pay for that.
- Just do it. Execute
- Just don't doo it. Demolish building for open space.
- Just get rid of it
- Just let the building be there
- Keep the façade and demolish the rest
- Knock it down
- Knock it down
- Knock it down
- Knock it down and salvage what you can then plant some grass and trees
- Knock it down. Allow more fields for GCMS or GCHS.
- Knock the building down
- LANDMARK BUILDING-CLEANING UP, MAKE PRETTY AND LEAVE IT ALONE
- Let's save St Paul's and just get this started and moving. History is a gift and it will make our village better for it. Pick something that makes it desirable for outsiders to want to come and pay more for it
- Let's move on this.

- Let's save St. Paul's. It's architecture and historical presence in this Village is important!
- LIKE TO SEE ST PAUL'S USE OUR RESOURCES TO OPEN RELATIONSHIPS WITH OTHER COMMUNITIES, IE ENCOURAGE RACIAL JUSTICE GOALS
- Lowest tax possible
- Maintenance of full building would be too expensive
- Make a decision
- Make Garden City great again and demolish St. Paul's
- MANY OF THESE IDEAS WOULD HAE BEEN DOABLE 25-35 YEARS AGO. ITS JUST TOO LATE AND THE BUILDING NEEDS TO BE TAKEN DOWN. SAD NO ONE CARED ABOUT THE SISTER SCHOOL- SAINT MARY'S
- Many years ago, this was approved for private development for senior living. That made sense. This does not.
- Maybe Hollywood could use the exterior for potential movie set.
- Maybe look a federal grant
- Modest tax increase only if the chosen course of action was demolition.
- Mothballing does not make sense. No benefit residents. No thanks, just demolish it.
- Mothballing is a waste of money. I'd rather have the option to knock it down for park space vs spending money to just preserve the exterior.
- MOTHBALLING ONLY PROLONGING THE AAGONY FFOR OUR YOUTH. LETS GET THIS DONE SO WE CAN ENJOY THIS BEAUTIFUL STRUCTURE AND ALL OF ITS POSSIBILITIES
- Move high school to this location. Once moved sell, the present high school property to finance.
- move town hall to a new St Paul's. Keep front of St Paul's and demo everything else. Build new facility behind saved front. Move police and fire into old town hall
- MR MALDOON IS AWESOME. THANK YOU FOR ALL YOU DO. THANK YOU FOR SHARING YOUR KNOWLEDGE. LETS SAVE THIS BEAUTIFUL BUILDING
- My children went to private school. I refuse to spend more money on taxes I won't use, not fair
- My concern is mainly timing. I've lived here 7 years and there has been no action on the project. If it doesn't start soon it may likely not be very useful to my family and children.
- My concern is that you are using this as a means to divert attention from the casino.
- My first preference is to not burden ourselves with such an expensive project just for the purpose of preservation. If we have a use for the St. Paul's space, then lets just build that space. Forego the dilapidated exterior. If we do preserve, then we need to make it worthwhile and make sure the end result is viable. Whatever we do, please make it beautiful. That whole lot, extending to the fields in the back is such a core and daily part of my GC experience that I just don't want you ruin it. My wife and I walk through there daily. So if we do decide to proceed with Partial Replacement, please don't half ass it and commit all the way.
- MY PREFERNCE REMAINS TO DEMOLISH THE BUILDING
- My top choice is not listed here, which is to raze the building and build a new, modern facility that serves more of the village's needs.
- My understanding was that the project was to preserve the architecture, not to create special services. Stop with the extras. The pool and the plan are being pushed. I am totally opposed to trying to satisfy every whim of some small group of people.
- Need a detailed plan.
- need more pre-k space
- Need to address parking and traffic with any renovation
- Need to be careful about traffic and parking, times facilities would open.

- Need to demolish building, sell property to a developer. Stop spending our tax money on this building
- Need to keep tax implication low as many of us won't benefit from it bc it will take years to complete. Our kids will be in college by then. Would rather have my taxes go to increasing the offerings at our schools such as late buses for the high school so kids can get home after school sports and clubs.
- Need to understand potential revenue projections, annual operating costs & profitability once in use-positive ROI?
- No demo
- no more than \$1,500 - \$2,000 annual tax increase
- No one moves to Garden City because of St. Paul's. We've spent enough and our taxes already high. Demolish it.
- not the job of residents to provide these things to others
- Not interested in preservation of St Paul's. Another location or new building is only option.
- Not sure why the only options presented reflect the town bearing 100% of the cost of the renovation. Shouldn't an option be presented that allows us to maintain the building, retain ownership of the property while generating revenue from a third party, such as a health club etc. Additionally, if we move Village government offices, couldn't some of the costs be offset by potentially selling or renting existing office space?
- Not to include demolition as a checked box line item is completely unjust. Also, they need to look to repave all roads throughout the village.
- not worth the cost
- Nothing will get done. 30 year resident. I suggest full transparency with regard to contractor estimates. The residents will scrutinize every decision. This is why demolition would make the most sense in this environment.
- Numbers are not correct
- Obsolete building is useless. Tear it down.
- Offers were made to support costs and were declined.
- Once it's gone, it's gone. Keep the history in the town that is still beautiful.
- One can barely afford the taxes as they are now. Don't burden us with this impossible renovation. Demolish it.
- Only support demolition
- Only support demolition
- Only support for demolition
- open to higher fees for outside users unless it interferes with community use at peak times
- open to other/future options
- Oppose demolition, is it a historic treasure that cannot be replaced.
- Option a and B are good with me. Option C is a waste.
- Our history is what makes Garden City special. St. Paul's is the center of our village and it sets it apart. We must not let it go.
- Our household was an initial supporter of preservation , but as the decades passed, have changed to it is past time to tear it down, before it falls down.
- Our real estate taxes are already very high. They increase each year. My family will not get the value of the cost to use this renovated facility.
- OUR TAXES ARE ALREADY HIGH AND I DO NOT SUPPORT ANY ADDITIONAL TAXES FOR A PROPERTY THAT HAS NO CLEAR OBJECTIVE OR OUTCOME

- Our taxes are high enough as it is; we simply cannot afford further tax increases. Please consider demolition if taxes will increase.
- Our taxes are high. Retired people pay a lot.
- Our taxes are high. We may to move should we be forced to pay more taxes for something we will never use. Demolish.
- Our taxes are too high already
- Our village tax is high enough.
- Outdoor space such as a garden would be the best use of this space
- Over the last 30 years, we have spent much money on maintenance and this building is still not habitable. We have spent money with no results. Demolish the building.
- Part of the reason we moved to Garden City is due to the existence of places such as St. Paul's. It's another factor that makes the town unique & stand apart from other long island communities.
- PARTIAL REPLACEMENT IS ILL-ADVISED AND A VERY BAD THING. DONT LET PEOPLE WHO KNOW NOTHIN OR DONT CARE ABOUT GOOD AND WELL-MADE THINGS GO AND TINKER WITH HISTORICAL ACHIEVEMENTS AND ICONS
- People who support this project should be the ones who pay for it.
- Pickleball courts is an option to generate income for non garden city residents.
- Please act soon. This issue began more than 30 years ago. Such a shame
- Please consider options to generate future revenue to offset renovation expenses.
- please demolish St. Paul
- Please demolish the building. We can't afford to waste our tax paper dollars on a vanity project.
- PLEASE DO NOT DEMOLISH-WE WILL BE QUEENS-KEEP IT AND ONLY LET GARDEN CITY RESIDENTS USE IT
- Please do not destroy Garden City history
- Please do not increase our village debt as our taxes are challenging in its current affair.
- Please do something useful with the space.
- Please don't knock it down, it's so beautiful and should be used to enhance our community
- please finally make a decision
- Please knock down the building
- Please leave the taxes alone
- Please look to charity donation program on preserving historic architecture, nationwide. Compare bids for the most affordable and efficient contractors to carry out the necessary repairs.
- Please preserve St Paul's for future generations.
- Please restore and reinvent St. Paul's. I'm tired of vocal minority endlessly obstructing what people want, which is to make St. Paul's a usable space for families and people of all ages.
- Please rip the building down. Garden City missed the opportunity. I do not believe the estimates as I believe the costs will be in excess of \$100 million dollars. Rip it down.
- Please Save St Paul's! It is an architectural treasure, that would never be able to be built again. It is part of our history and who we are as Garden City. We are exceptional, and should embrace that, and keep this town the exceptional place it is. We are builders and dreamers not people who tear things down. Adaptive reuse is by far the best way forward.
- Please save the exterior and renovate interior and build whatever else is needed
- Please save this beautiful building. We took our wedding pictures in front of it.
- Please tear it down and turn into additional parking for village. Perhaps I field to be able to host tournaments.

- Please use careful consideration when preserving the chapel and the contents. It was disappointing to see that all of the soldiers writings etched in the stone was removed.
- PLEASE WASTE MY MONEY AGAIN
- Possibly selling off some village properties to offset these costs. Relocating school administration here, and fundraisers. Preschool could be located here instead of out of town for residents.
- Prefer demolition of majority of building, with possibly keeping a small portion of facade. Would support building a bubble similar to the community park tennis courts to house turf fields for soccer.
- Prefer focus on upgrading facilities for school buildings in need of infrastructure repair like bathrooms, heat, AC, etc.
- Prefer income based approach
- Prefer to demolish the building.
- Preserve façade. Use newfound space for turf fields.
- preserve st Paul's- it is a vital part of the character of the village
- Preserving Saint Paul's is essential to the community. Weil adaptive Youse is my first choice, I would support partial replacement. Well, mothballing is not my preference I would support it in lieu of demolition.
- Preserving St. Paul's is essential to the community. Adaptive Reuse is my first choice, however I would support partial replacement. Mothballing is not my preference but I would support it in lieu of demolition.
- Preserving the building at its historical value will be a great restoration for the history. Adding additional square footage loses history.
- PRESERVING TO ME MAKES SENSE. PRESERVING BUT NOT ABLE TO USE (OPTION C) RAISES QUESTION OF WHY DO IT?
- Primarily, due to the fact that the initial 18 million was budgeted and presented for complete demolition. On this document demolition is 35 million, which now supports the fact that the numbers are not accurate.
- PRIOR EFFORTS DETERMINED BUILDING FRAMING WOULD HAVE TO BE REPLACED WITH STEEL TO BRING TO CODE. THERE IS NO MENTION OF THIS IN PROPOSALS
- Project a waste of time and money. Demolish St Paul's and move on. Would rather move than pay for this project.
- Property tax increase of any amount for demolition sounds much better.
- Property taxes are already way too high and should be reduced. Having paying 500 per year to annual membership to the facility would be OK.
- Provide an option to keep the facade and I would consider options.
- PUT TIME, ENERGY AND RESOURCES INTO PROJECTS THAT WILL ACTUALLY BENEFIT THE VILLAGE. FIREHOUSE, TRAINING CENTER, ETC. FIXING ST PAULS IS TOO FAR GONE
- Q11 asks for \$1500 or more. It does not make sense because it is open-ended. Our response is \$1,500 and no higher than that.
- Raise money for a project a different way. Don't text us anymore.
- Raising taxes on everyone in GC by these levels would be insane. I bought my house here 4.5 years ago. We are 33 years old with a 2 year old daughter. Inflation and uncertainty in the economy is already stressful enough, and now we have to worry about a large jump in village taxes for an unnecessary luxury. This project should be sold to a commercial operator or funded by donors, it is crazy to dramatically increase taxes for young families in town that are already struggling to keep up with bills and save money for child expenses. Please reconsider these proposals.
- Redistribute the taxes we already paid to fund this.

- Redo it for kids and teams with few classrooms for community. Indoor track will pay for itself. High schools and colleges use it and can pay.
- Rehabilitation foolish and unnecessary. Demolition is the only sensible outcome.
- Renovate it inside and out similar to the NYAC - Gym, aquatic center, racquetball, handball, golf simulators, etc..
- RENOVATING THIS BUILDING WILL CREATE EXCESSIVE TRAFFIC IN THIS AREA WHICH ALREADY IS AN AREA OF HEAVY TRAFFIC
- Restoring such a unique and beautiful building will increase the value of homes and our neighborhood. Garden City residence have these amenities available to them.
- Retaining front facade only is a viable option as seen globally with other old buildings. The existing structure is too expensive to fix and maintain.
- Sadly after all of this time, we can only support removing the building entirely.
- Saint Paul's could never be re-created today and a memorial to our founder Alexander Stewart. I feel buildings like the Cathedral and Saint Paul at tremendous charm to our village.
- Saint Paul's differentiates Garden City from our neighboring villages. And so doing it helps keep our property values at a premium.
- Saint Paul's is a private school. It's greatest value is as such. We should lease it to be a private school operator. It's highest and best use is as a school. Leasing it would remove the economic burden. The village now carries.
- Saint Paul's is unsightly. Something needs to be done as soon as possible. Our preference is the option with the lowest cost.
- Saint Paul's school is an architectural gem
- Saint Paul's should be demolished as soon as possible. The village needs facilities that are new and modern.
- Salvage and repurpose. Tear down and rebuild
- Save every brick. Fix it all. Add to the Fieldhouse and renovate.
- Save money to survive from upcoming tariff chaos and recession!!
- SAVE ST PAULS PLEASE
- Save the building and open it for public use.
- Save the tower as a historical reference. Recognize current needs such as pool, indoor track, fitness gym, hockey rink
- Save this historic building.. This has gone on so long. Do not raze it. keep it. save it.
- Saving and restoring Saint Paul's is making a commitment to preserve a piece of history and it is an investment in the town for today and our posterity.
- School and property taxes are already rising. This is an unnecessary burden to all residents. Just open up the property to park lands.
- see letter scanned in
- Sell it
- Sell it to a developer who would demolish the building and put up an office building, which would house a large corporation and several smaller businesses. This would give more jobs to Garden City residents.
- SELL THE PROPERTY FOR NEW RESIDENCES THAT PAY TAXES
- Seniors with fixed income, tax increases are difficult. May be a discount for over age 65.
- Shame to take down but it is time.
- Should be demolished
- should be demolished

- Should be sold to a developer to broaden the tax base. Our taxes are too high.
- SHOULD BE TAKEN DOWN AND USED FOR MORE FIELDS
- Should the town high school, building of history that can never be replaced, keep it and refinish.
- Since the purchase of Saint Paul's in the 1990s, no agreement has been reached as to it's Youse. It should be demolished.
- Since there is no plan for the space, it is hard to justify the cost. If we had a great idea for the space, I would support spending more. Maybe we will need a new village hall, high school or middle school in the future and we should preserve the space for future use. Mothball is my vote.
- Since this is being forced on the village taxpayers, please put a sign outside the building when construction starts with the names of the trustees who support it.
- Since we pay taxes to fund the renovation, the village residence should receive discount rates, using the renovated facilities and programs.
- Sorry-on a fixed income!
- Speaking to neighbors who have kids and don't have kids in schools or graduated high school are worried about tax increase for this project.
- Spend minimum to maintain building.
- Spending tons of money each time a new study is done.
- St Paul's is our Notre Dame. It's functional art and should be functioning and awe inspiring.
- St Paul's no longer has a reason to exist
- ST PAULS BUILDING DOES NOT BRING VALUE TO GARDEN CITY-TO DATE IT HAS BEEN A FINANCIAL DRAIN WHICH WILL ONLY INCREASE. THE PROJECTED COSTS SEEM TO BE EXTREMELY LOW-HAVE THE BOARD ASK FOR DESIGN BUILD GUARANTEED COSTS SO THE RESIDENTS KNOW WHAT THE TRUE COST WOULD BE
- St Paul's is a beautiful building and heart of town. Love to see it made into something useful.
- St Paul's is a beautiful building, but it is time to recognize that years of neglect have make it economically irrational to spend more money on.
- St Paul's is a large part of what makes Garden City. I demolished, we will never be able to rebuild it. Every effort should be made to restore
- ST PAULS IS A MONEY PIT OF WASTE AND THE FRIVOLITY OF THE GARDEN CITY ELITE. PLANS, BEYOND DEMOLITION, WILL BECOME A GROWING TAX BURDEN FOR THE VILLAGE AND HOMEOWNERS LIKE ME, SENIOR CITIZENS ON A FIXED INCOME
- St Paul's is a treasure that we need to preserve in the village.
- ST PAULS IS AN ICONIC BUILDING THAT NEEDS TO BE UTILIZED. IT IS PART OF GARDEN CITY'S HISTORY
- St Paul's is beautiful but just too expensive and impractical.
- St Paul's is historically important. Garden City needs a state-of-the art facility for athletics and other uses. Executed properly, this will enhance the village.
- ST PAULS IS THE JEWEL IN OUR CROWN-PLEASE SAVE IT
- St Paul's is to the village just as the Statue of Liberty is to New York.
- St Paul's must stop being a money pit.
- St Paul's should be preserved.
- st Paul's time was 30 yrs ago, not now
- St. Paul's has already cost a village over \$1 million. It's a money pit and we have nothing to show for it
- St. Paul's is a beautiful building that represents Garden City and it's founder. We have destroyed most of the other buildings. I hope this is not another mistake made by the village.

- St. Paul's is the architectural centerpiece of the town. We lose it, we lose our heritage and an aesthetic that defines this village. Adaptive reuse is our first choice. We would support partial replacement. Mothballing is certainly preferred in lieu of demolition.
- St. Paul's would make a great wedding hall especially the chapel. Would make a great manager to oversee restoring this project.
- Stop wasting everyone's time and money by asking the same questions every year while doing nothing aside from incurring pointless maintenance costs. Fix the damn building or get off the town board.
- Stop wasting money
- Strongly prefer a 30 year bond. What is the likelihood of this? Thanks to the POA's for leadership.
- Support demolition
- Support demolition or facadism
- Support facadism
- Support maintaining the historic façade of Saint Paul's, but not putting a pool there due to moisture problems to a historic building. Would love to see and would pay taxes for an indoor pool elsewhere.
- Take action
- take it down
- take it down
- Take it down. Create green space for public use or Fieldhouse for public indoor use.
- Take out anything of value - sell it then, knock down the building
- Take the building down and create a new space.
- Take the building down. Make a park perhaps with tennis, Pickleball, bocce ball, outdoor facilities. Walking area for residents only.
- Take the building down. Put up a cell tower. Wake up. Collect rent for the cell tower
- Tax increase too much
- Tax is too high now. Cannot afford more
- Taxes and fees are out of hand. This should have been done years ago.
- Taxes are already too high
- Taxes are already too high. Bring in DOGE
- Taxes are already too high. I don't believe the estimated tax cost will be much higher.
- TAXES ARE GOING THRU THE ROOF. WE ARE BEING TAXED OUT OF OUR HOMES THAT WE OWN
- Taxes are high enough. St Paul's should not be my responsibility
- Taxes are high enough. The maintenance and upkeep of this property may very well always go up.
- Taxes are never held in check. This will just add to tax burden. not sure why demolition was taken off the table.
- Taxes are too high now. Find someone who wants to sponsor it, stop using tax payer money.
- Taxes area already too high. Preservation, landmarking just for its own sake is not worth the cost.
- Taxes in Garden City are high enough - our family does not want to fund this endeavor.
- Taxes in Nassau County are high and Garden City are high also. This would be a problem for fixed income people.
- TAXES TOO HIGH ALREADY
- Taxes too high; people would leave village
- tear down then determine best use of foot print. I am against preservation
- Tear it down
- Tear it down

- Tear it down
- Tear it down
- Tear it down
- Tear it down
- Tear it down
- Tear it down and leave it to open green space.
- Tear it down and make a park
- Tear it down and make a Parkland
- Tear it down just like they did the old Garden City Hotel. People got over that ... they will get over St. Paul's no longer being there in a year or two max!!!
- Tear it down or sell to a developer
- Tear it down, expand fields
- Tear it down, stop spending money on it. A bond will never pass.
- Tear it down. Avalon would have been paying taxes by now. The committee to save St. Paul's effectively destroyed it.
- Tear it down. It has become more unattractive each year with its blackout windows and cardboard, clock, and fencing.
- Tear it down. Provide green space, sports fields.
- Tear it down. We need more parks, fields, dog run, trail. Parking for fields
- Tear the building down.
- Thank you Vinny Muldoon and the entire BOT for you inspiring dedication to this most important project!
- Thanks for pushing this through.
- The analysis supporting the cost and tax estimates is flawed and purposely misleading. Tear it down.
- The beauty and history of this building is very important for our village. The future availability of this building for next generations is a priority. We support your efforts! Do not demolish!
- The billing needs to come down. No new taxes. Demolition is the only answer.
- THE BUDGET FOR EACH OPTION IS LIKELY UNDERESTIMATED. IT DID NOT INCLUDE ONGOING OPERATING EXPENSE ONCE THE RENOVATION IS COMPLETED
- The building has already been a financial burden. Just take it down.
- The building has been dormant for too long and we should save the artifacts and tear down the building
- The building has been neglected for many years and is not fair for people who have moved to the village within the last few years to have to put the bill for a massive renovation.
- The building has been under discussion for several years. Money has been spent to "fix" problems with no plan in sight to make a decision. The time has come to demolish the building.
- The building has passed its prime. No investment will revive it. Demolish it.
- The building has served its purpose. Demolish it.
- The building is beyond repair. It is too late and too costly.
- The building is far too dilapidated to repair. It should be torn down and replaced with a new state-of-the-art facility. The village has spent enough money over the years to no avail.
- The building is long past. It's useful life and the cost of the options presented will be significantly higher. It's time to demolish it.
- The building is not worth the enormous cost of "saving." Countless administrators from the 1990s to present day have kicked the can. Financing this at 7% and levying thousands of dollars in

additional taxes for this money pit is not a prudent use of capital. Knock is down, provide simple basketball/indoor turf and expand the parking the grass fields need. Cluet/Annex/Cottages should be demolished too to create space. The building is an eye sore and spending frivolously on an aesthetic is a poor use of funds, and frankly I don't trust the village to see through.

- The building is of historic significance and must be preserved.
- The building must be demolished. I voted for demolition previously. Do not approve of any increase in tax.
- The building needs to be demolished
- The building needs to be removed and then separately we consider how to use the space. At a minimum, expand the field space with more capacity for soccer. Or build outdoor courts there for tennis, basketball, pickleball, etc. Taking on tens of millions in cost for a decaying building to essentially create a community center is poor use of funds. Start over with the space and create attractive outdoor space that expands the current park.
- The building should be demolished
- The building should be demolished
- The building should be demolished, and any historical features preserved. Do not restore the building.
- The building should be demolished. Cut the loss and demolish the building.
- THE BUILDING SHOULD BE PRESERVED AS IT IS PART OF THE HISTORICAL FABRIC OF GARDEN CITY, WHICH IS A HISTORICAL VILLAGE. TO TEAR DOWN SUCH ARCHITECTURE DENEGRATES THE INTEGRITY OF THE VILLAGE
- THE BUILDING SHOULD BE RAZED AND THE SPACE RECOVERED AND USED FOR ANOTHER PURPOSE
- The building should be torn down and the space renovated into turf fields
- The building should be torn down.
- The building should have been torn down 30 years ago.
- the building should not be demolished under any circumstances
- The building should've been demolished years ago and the referendum approved.
- The cathedral is the indispensable heart of Garden City, not St. Paul's
- The community would be better served by getting rid of the existing building and build without the burden of incorporating parts of this old one.
- The cost estimates are understated. The cost would be exorbitant. Demolish the building.
- The cost for residents to use the space should be minimal since we are funding the renovation. Outsiders should pay more since they are not funding it.
- The cost for usable square feet is outrageous
- The cost is too great to do anything to this building. Demolish.
- The cost is too much.
- The cost of reburnishing the building is not worth the cost. We could better meet the needs of the Village through a project that maintains the facade but has a building purpose built for our needs. Alternately we could demolish the building and build sometimes entirely new that exactly fits our needs.
- The cost of renovation or preservation is excessive and will not be the estimate noted. Building should be demolished.
- The costs cannot be passed on to residents who have known for 30 years that this is a tear down.
- the current budget is not transparent, questionable, and suspicious. Who ever support a budget should pledge their personal asset to guarantee the accuracy of such budget, and the very possible delay of such project.

- THE CURRENT -NEWLY ELECTED MEMBERS, TOO- ARE CAPTIVES TO A SMALL GROUP OF IRRATIONAL RESIDENTS WHO DESPITE THEIR \$ AND INTELLIGENCE ARE PUSHING THE VILLAGE TO FINANCIAL RUIN TO SAVE AN ANCIENT BUILDING FOR WHICH THE VILLAGE HAS NO NEED
- The development of Saint pools should be budget neutral. The RFP should be put to the private sector to allow professionals to plan and possible execute developments.
- The entire building should be demolished. An outdoor plaque/area honoring Alexander & Cornelia Stewart should sit in some part of the grounds/post demolition similar to 9/11 Memorial, War Veterans Memorial, etc. in Village.
- The GC recreation dept is not capable of managing /maintaining. I would not spend to watch it fall apart.
- The increase in home values far outweigh the increase in taxes
- THE MAIN BUILDING SHOULD BE DEMOLISHED
- The main building should be demolished. And we should have a master plan for the park with modern environmentally friendly buildings that meet the needs of the village for the next 100 years. Noone has a connection to main building other than the exterior shell. Demolish the building and start anew.
- The members of the New Board(finneran) have been deceitful, leaving out the demolition option, not providing accurate financial information. None have experience to understand the huge scope of the project. Our taxes will be burdened with this albatross.
- The most important thing to our family is preserving the integrity of the building. Most importantly the chapel. We think the chapel and event space would be optimal for weddings, etc., and would bring revenue.
- The neglect of this building over 30 years is beyond repair and a financial burden for many years to come. Demolish this building.
- The numbers presented are considerably below the costs and tax increases required for any of these proposals. They are not credible numbers.
- The only option we would support is demolition
- THE ONLY POSSIBLE USE FOR ST PAULS IS IF WE SELL THE MIDDLE SCHOOL AND PROPERTY AND MOVE THE HIGH SCHOOL TO ST PAULS-THE MIDDLE SCHOOL WOULD BE AT THE HS SITE
- The options listed, the costs will only be the beginning. Demolish it
- The plans assume that patrons from outside Garden City will be needed to cover operating costs. I do not support these plans because it will draw enormous traffic.
- The potential tax increase is not this issue -- the renovated building would be a game changer for the village. No one asked about the tax increase to put the pool complex over an ashfill and that has developed into a fantastic recreation complex. The same would be true for a renovated St Paul's, regardless of its use.
- The preserve time has passed. Should have done something 20 years ago.
- THE PROPERTY SHOULD BE CONVERTED TO SOCIAL SCENE WITH A POND-TEAR DOWN THE OBSOLETE BUILDING
- The space has been sitting around for too long and is in terrible condition. Knock it down and build something with purpose.
- The structure has stood vacant for over 30 years. Certificate of occupancy has expired. New structures would need to comply with current building codes in order to get a certificate of occupancy. It would need an elevator to comply with current requirements for needs of disabled persons. The interior will need a complete redo. A total demolition of building would be required. There is no current need for Saint Paul's, nor in the foreseeable future.
- The taxes are way too high already we don't need them increased even more.

- The three options need to be expanded to include-new state of the art facility as comparison.
- The time and money spent is unacceptable. Demolition for green space would benefit village.
- THE TIME TO RESTORE THIS BUILDING AND THE RESPONSIBILITY TO DO SO HAVE LONG PASSED. I ALWAYS REGRET TAKING DOWN OLD BUILDINGS BUT THE TIME HAS COME TO REMOVE THIS GROWING HAZARD AND MOVE ON
- The town board and villagers are too divided to be able to work together on a project of this scale. I'd rather see my taxes increase for improvements to school facilities, and school fields.
- The town can raise revenue by charging fees to use the facility, and renting it out to film crews or as an event space. But I feel strongly that the building be preserved as it is a piece of the Town's History.
- The turnover in village trustees prohibits any long range planning of this scope!
- The use of "Ball Park Figures" is embarrassing and unprofessional. Large projects are bid at several phases, Concept plans, design document plans and Construction drawings. This whole process lacks professionalism and does not instill any faith in the competence of the BOT. Also Trustee Muldoon renovates single family homes. He is far from an authority on this type of construction.
- THE USES DESCRIBED FOR THIS BUILDING CURRENTLY EXIST NEARBY WITH EXCESS CAPACITY. THE RECREATION DEPARTMENT IS UNABLE TO MAINTAIN THE EXISTING FACILITIES
- The various committees have lied, misdirected, manipulated, fraud by not fairly representing the demolition option and the potential costs
- The various restoration/adaptation options presented are both extremely expensive and underwhelming. The athletic facility suggestions (pools, indoor facilities, etc.) seem to be independent of the decision to preserve St. Paul's. If the town wants an indoor pool, that does not require the preservation of St. Paul's or justify the expense. Also, if we are going to get into the pool business or the gym business, renting time to outsiders and swim teams, that needs more justification than has been provided. I have lived in GC for 30 years, during which St. Paul's has always been an issue. For much of that time, I have been a preservationist, but the inability to create consensus around any proposal and the ongoing deterioration of the structure makes me skeptical that a real cost-effective solution to keep the building is out there. If one does exist, the town needs to develop a real consensus around it. This issue is not become really divisive yet, but it has the potential to do so.
- THE VILLAGE ACQUIRED THE ST PAULS PROPERTY TO PRESERVE THE OPEN FIELDS AND TO PREVENT THE OWNER FROM SUBDIVIDING THE PROPERTY AS OF RIGHT TO BUILD A MINIMUM OF 50 HOUSES. THE PRESERVATION OF THE SCHOOL BUILDING WAS NOT THE MAIN CONCERN THEN AND SHOULD NOT BE NOW
- The village cannot afford this project. There are many other projects in town that need funding.
- THE VILLAGE COULD NOT HANDLE A FIREHOUSE-IT CANNOT HANDLE ST PAULS
- The village had 30 years to do something, now the building has deteriorated. Wasting tax payer money.
- The village had their chance to do it right over 30 years ago. Too much \$\$, unrealistic cast projections-
- The village has enough staff and pension obligations. It also doesn't need any more property or plant to maintain and repair and eventually refurbish. There are plenty of health, clubs, gyms, and indoor pools run by county, town or private areas. Any village resident can also join program at a Delphy's gym.
- The village has made one mistake after another when it comes to Saint Paul's. It should've been turned into Garden City high school years ago. Now it is Parkland and the village hands are tied

on what can be done. The village should have done a similar project as the Domino Sugar building in Brooklyn. Look it up.

- the village has spent a considerable amount of time and money discussing this project and has not come to any conclusion. Additionally, asking residents to make a decision based on unsupported estimates is wrong.
- The village is not competent to organize or manage a program of this scope. The estimates are vastly understated and unlikely to be real. The absence of demolition creates a bias.
- The Village normally charges us very high property taxes. It is the Village's responsibility to find the money for St. Paul's without any increases to our already high taxes.
- The village officials have no idea of cost of conversion, cost of running the project, and what it takes to run the asset after it is built. They can't run the Garden City recreation department.
- The Village sadly had a chance to save St. Paul's 30 years ago, the time has long past to attempt to salvage this once beautiful structure.
- The village should demo the structure.
- The village should just build what we need in the neighborhood and get it done instead of dragging it. We do lack a multipurpose community that other towns have. In addition, I'm supportive of renting the place out to private sector for commercial uses, i.e. converting into a hotel and etc.
- The village should've taken care of this building from day it was purchased. Level it.
- The villager needs an indoor practice facility to be used by residents only.
- The vote 30 years ago was to demolish. It was ignored. Waste of money
- There are a lot of rich people in town who I'm sure would love to put their name on the building/rooms/hallways to restore. Has the town considered private donations?
- There should have been an option to demolish the building and replace it with a brand new building to use as a community center.
- THERE WAS NO OPTION FOR DEMOLITION-YOU EITHER RESTORE BUILDING OR DEMOLISH IT
- There were no choices for total demolition and creating Park space.
- These estimates seem extremely low. Are they realistic? Could we keep the façade or part of it?
- THIS BUILDING AND ITS ACCOMPANYING DAMAGED STRUCTURES SHOULD BE DEMOLISHED/ COSTS TO RESIDENTS WOULD BE TOO HIGH FOR OTHER OPTIONS
- This building could be used as mixed space.
- This building has very little use in its current form. If it cannot be adapted then it should be demolished as it has divided the village for 30 plus years.
- This building is a cancer on the town and not worth saving at this point. GC could have done something wonderful 30+ years ago but now it is too far gone and too expensive to save.
- This building is amazing. Now is the time to pay and do the right thing.
- This building is an embarrassing eyesore. Knock it down. It's a waste of time, resources, and energy.
- This building is an important building not only to Garden City but to New York City. We destroyed historical buildings all too often. We need a community center and this would be an amazing opportunity.
- THIS BUILDING IS NOT THE IDENTITY OF THE VILLAGE. TAXES KEEP RISING, SCHOOLS ARE FALLING APART AND UNLESS YOUR CHILD IS IN HONORS CLASSES, EDUCATION IS SUBPAR. MONEY CAN BE BETTER SPENT ON EDUCATION
- THIS BUILDING IS THE HEART OF OUR TOWN AND NEEDS TO BE PRESERVED IN ITS ENTIRETY
- This building needs to be demolished.
- This building should be demolished, we cannot afford to preserve or refurbish.

- This building should have been renovated 30 years ago! Too late and too expensive. It needs to go (which I agree is sad.)
- This circus has gone on way too long and the costs today are prohibitive.
- THIS DILAPIDATED STRUCTURE-WHICH HAS GONE UNUSED FOR 30 PLUS YEARS- SHOULD BE REMOVED AND SIMPLY RETURNED TO GREEN SPACE
- This discussion has been ongoing since the 80's
- This has been going on to long. Demolish building, so we can move on.
- This has gone too long. The building is shot now. Take down at lowest cost then consider lowest cost use of remaining land. And this 35 year bleeding and embarrassment.
- This increase in taxes should be offset by costs associated with non village residents using the space.
- This is a diamond in the rough, should be saved. It makes GC unique, we have already lost too many Gold Coast mansions and other landmarks of historic significance.
- This is a historic building that adds character to our village. It helps make Garden City stand out, and it would be very unfortunate to lose it.
- This is a huge waste of tax money. Destroy this and stop wasting taxpayer time and money. Fix the schools and parks instead.
- this is a landmark historic structure, enhances the history and character. Unique feature
- This is a major project that should be issued a 30 year bond
- This is a misguided effort. The village has shown over more than 30 years that no matter who is on the Board of Trustees the village cannot manage this building. Spending more money would just create a never ending tax nightmare.
- This is a money pit regardless of approach,
- This is a nonfunctional building that will cost an enormous amount of money to refurbish. There are other alternatives for each use that is proposed.
- This is a pittance that would improve the village amenities for generations.
- This is a ridiculous question. It means "what kind of tax increase would you support to find a project we are only vaguely able to describe?"
- This is a special building in the center of our town. Let's restore it for Garden City residents.
- This is a turning point in our village teacher. Support is very popular. Let's get this done now.
- This is an investment. We expect that there will be fees for use to recoup some of the cost
- This is no longer a viable or financially responsible issue
- This is not for every resident. Demolition was not an option. Nothing done for 30 years.
- This is not only an architectural gem that does not exist anywhere else on Long Island, it is a huge opportunity for the village of Garden City to develop a class A space for residents and the surrounding communities as well.
- THIS IS RIDICULOUS TEAR DOWN THAT UGLY BUILDING. TURN IT INTO MORE PARKING FOR THE SOCCER FIELDS
- This is the building a few people know about and it's not the face of Garden City. Our taxes are too high.
- This is too expensive of a project. It's scope is too large for the Village. It will become a money pit. Perhaps if it had been undertaken 30+ years ago when the building was first acquired and in better shape but not now. Just a thought but has outside funding been investigated? It is now a former school of a president perhaps there would be deep pockets who would consider funding a project of this size and cost for the village.
- This issue has been addressed on ongoing. Demolish the building.

- THIS NONSENSE HAS BEEN ONGOING FOR DECADES AND MILLIONS HAVE ALREADY BEEN WASTED-TEAR IT DOWN
- This process has been politicized by certain trustee on the village board. Time to move on and demolish the building and develop a park for residents.
- This process has gone on for over 30 years with no resolution. No more time and money should be wasted. Demolish the building and make it Parkland.
- This project should have been completed years ago
- This proposed project is costly and will not support it
- This questions are really none of anyone's business but mine. Why not ask me my annual salary and after tax expenses also???
- this should have been done 30 yrs ago, demolish the building before it falls down or someone sets it on fire
- This structure is an important part of our village heritage. Tearing it down would make us more like Mineola or Williston Park.
- This Village already has way too much facilities for children. I'd prefer returning the land to park-like preserve.
- This whole process has been one by the Alliance and 3 trustees
- This whole project is too late.
- This will cost double any of the projections
- This will not remain in budget. Will traffic congestion.
- This would be a big impact on senior fixed income
- This would be a tax burden to all tax payers. Stop throwing away our money.
- Throwing good money after bad. Considered other locations for indoor pool. How about selling other village land?
- Time has come to admit we can't afford anything except demolition
- Time to make a decision and move on it.
- TIME TO MOVE ON-I DIDNT LLIVE HERE WHEN IT COULD HAVE BEEN SAVED BUT I GREW UP HERE AND IT WAS GORGEOUS. THIS IS A DISGRACE
- TIME TO TEAR IT DOWN
- Tired of reading and hearing about this subject.
- to demolish the building and build a regular building with indoor space
- Too big for Village to handle, too expensive for residents. Save facade if possible-done all over Europe.
- Too expensive and better use of space would be to tear it down. This is a waste of taxpayers money. We do not support anything but demolition.
- Too much debt for the village. Costs will be much higher than presented. The building should be demolished.
- Total demolition
- Total waste of taxpayer money
- town bought St Paul's property in 1993. Should have been restored then. Now it is too late. Tear it down and rebuild a community center
- Tripling the debt of Garden City with estimates likely to be low is ridiculous. Our children will choose to live elsewhere. Demolition is the only reasonable answer.
- Under NO circumstances, should non residents be able to use ANY of the facilities at St Paul's
- Unfortunately demo as I believe we cannot run this facility nor can we add any more traffic to the area

- Unfortunately, DEMOLITION is the only fiscally responsible option at this point.
- Use the money in the school system instead of this blackhole
- USELESS BUILDING-HAS BEEN STANDING EMPTY FOR A LONG TIME
- Very important to have real estimates of cost. I don't believe we ever hear the truth on actual costs of repairs.
- Village cannot handle this project. They have proved unable to provide firefighters with a fire house over 5 years and they think this can be handled? Good luck
- VILLAGE DEBT AND TAXES ARE ALREADY TOO HIGH-I VOTE FOR DEMOLITION
- Village needs a pool for athletes, swimming lessons , high schoolers.
- Village to publish full and complete hard; soft cost budget with all fees to date included. Are you expecting to build union or non-union? If taking grants or stat/gov money if will be prevailing wage/union?
- Village took too long to address the building. Take out what you can salvage and demo.
- WAITED TOO LONG TO DO ANYTHING WITH THE BUILDING
- want something for seniors. Pool a big deal with the extra taxes I pay. Want an indoor pool, lap and warm.
- Was on the previous committee. we cannot afford to rebuild and operate.
- WAS RAISED HERE AND LIVING HERE WHEN VILLAGE PURCHASED. SHOULD HAVE EITHER MADE REPAIRS OR KEPT SAFE FROM ELEMENTS THEN. MOVED BACK TO RAISE FAMILY. FEEL MORE STRONGLY NOW THE TIME HAS PASSED FOR ANY KIND OF REUSE
- Waste of money
- Wasted millions and nothing has happened in 30 years.
- We already belong to fitness centers and do not need another option, resulting in higher taxes. The building has been neglected and is in such disrepair. We do not have confidence in the expenses outlined. Demolish and keep the clock tower or monument in the buildings place.
- WE already have all the facilities we need. Destroying the building should be an option. Keep the space as green space or a park.
- We already pay a lot in Village taxes. Paying significantly more for a facility we do not need and a project that would take years is a non-starter. Additionally, I strongly disagree with taxpayers, funding this, and then opening it to the public.
- We are fine with either adaptive use or partial replacement. Don't see the point in mothballing. Strongly opposed to any turf and fields, horrible for environment.
- We are retired and would like to see it stay in the village.
- We believe that the costs would be significantly higher than the estimates. This could financially devastate our community. After 30 plus year, we still do not have a specific purpose for St. Paul's.
- WE BELIEVE THE BUILDING IS WORTH NOT ONLY SAVING BUT RE-USING
- WE CANT BARELY AFFORD THE VILLAGE AS THEY ARE NOW. DONT BURDEN US WITH THIS IMPOSSIBLE RENOVATION
- We can't lose St. Paul's. It's too much a part of our beautiful village.
- We do not believe that St. Paul's historical relevance is enough to justify the expense of restoration. My taxes should not increase in order to save an old building.
- We do not think that the current options can provide a space with enough benefits at a reasonable cost. We would be most likely to support demolition and a newly constructed building that can provide the amenities that the village would get the greatest benefit from.
- We don't believe the estimates are realistic. Also, We are concerned that many of the people that have an affection for the building are older resident that will be moving out of the village and saddle the rest of the residents with the costs of the project. There is a reason why nothing has

been done for 30+ years. The cost is too expensive. A newly constructed architecturally attractive building could be built for much less money

- We don't need this. This will bankrupt the village. Demolish it.
- WE FAVOR DEMOLITION
- We favored demolition and no additional taxes.
- We have a lovely village, nicely located to gyms, pools, tennis courts, rental spaces, auditoriums, concert stages, craft studios. No need to duplicate
- We have facilities nearby. Our taxes are too high. Higher than neighboring villages.
- We have had 33 years to take on what was a massive restoration at that time. Now it's too expensive and far too late.
- We have lived here 34 years, St Paul's has been empty all that time.
- We have paid too much of property tax that include county and village taxes
- We have spent too much money already. Demolition is only option. Less expensive
- We have wasted so much already. Sadly it is time to come down
- We may support tax increase somewhere between or up to \$350
- We must save and preserved this beautiful building, which has so much history and is the make up of our community. We need to restore it and make it a good place for our residents.
- We need more parking and a turf field. The building has been neglected. Stripped the building of its artifacts and add a wing to historical Society building for those that care about its history.
- We need to demolish the building. It is too expensive to keep any part of the building.
- We need to do something.
- We need to protect St Paul's for our children and all future generations.
- We need to realistic. This is past its prime. Neither young families nor seniors should be saddled with this albatross.
- we need to save St Paul's to keep/increase the value of our homes and integrity of the village
- We need to save this piece of history at all costs. What would future generations think of us when they learn we bulldozed it? Maybe HGTV would like to pay the Village for a show about renovating St. Paul's.
- We need to take action. Garden City needs to add recreation areas.
- We only support demolition
- We pay \$17,000 now in property taxes.
- We pay enough in taxes, this is a complete waste of money.
- We pay enough R/E taxes. If the project proceeds look for cuts in the budget to offset the increases.
- We pay much too much for taxes.
- We prefer Adaptive Reuse.
- We recommend demolition and would not support any non-demolition option. We have lived in Garden City for nearly 14 years and have no connection to the building.
- We see no reason to burden taxpayers for anything other than demolition.
- WE SHOULD RESTORE AND CLEAN THE EXTERIOR. IT IS DIRTY. INTERIOR DEVELOPMENT SHOULD BE ACCOMPLISHED GRADUALLY WITH VOTER APPROVAL.
- We should have a lovely green space with an amphitheater in even an ice rink that turns into amphitheater in summer. Cost will always be double original estimate in every project.
- We should sell the current high school property to a developer to construct residential housing which should include a mix of over 55 community housing, residential homes and affordable housing. This would raise a material amount of funds, add to the tax base, garner goodwill with

our neighbors, and maybe even earn tax credits. We should then demolish St. Paul's and rebuild a new, beautiful, more modern high school using a modular build, linking the new high school with the middle school via an underground tunnel. This would preserve the sanctity of the center of our town, preserve our very important sports fields, and link the middle school and high school to allow for safe passageway under Stewart Avenue.

- We should sell/lease hold to developers to create high end apartments, keeping the architectural exterior. This will make money rather than major tax increase to the community
- We strongly favor demolition and using the land for an expanded green space and park.
- We strongly support total preservation of this unique and beautiful historic building.
- We support demolition
- We support saving Saint Paul's
- We support selling the building or demolishing it
- we think St. Paul's should be demolished which is the least costly option
- We voted over 30 years ago to keep the building and remain steadfast in that decision. Historic and art gallery could generate income – use your imagination.
- WE WANT TO KEEP ST PAULS WITH OPTION A OR AT A MINIMUM OPTION C
- We want to spend as little as possible.
- We wholeheartedly support saving Saint Paul's.
- We will support funding for demolition
- We would like to thank the Trustees and committees for their tireless work. Please use taxpayer money to maintain and enhance existing Village roads/services/parks/buildings. We need to keep home ownership within the reach of future generations. Hopefully, the building's salvageable pieces can be removed and repurposed elsewhere and the history preserved by other means.
- We would support more open space & parkland - something there's too little of in our area.
- WE WROTE DOWN DEMO INSTEAD OF FACADISM. DONT TRUST THE VILLAGE BUT DONT THINK THE VILLAGE CAN WORK TOGETHER ON A COMPROMISE. THATS WHAT FACADISM IS-A COMPROMISE BETWEEN DEMO AND SAVE EVERYTHING
- WEVE BEEN DOWN THIS ROAD TOO MANY TIMES. SADLY, ITS TIME TO TEAR IT DOWN AND BEAUTIFY BY CREATING A LARGE GREEN SPACE FOR ALL RESIDENTS. THE NEXT GENERATION DESERVES BETTER
- What a tremendous undertaking and many years overdue. Perhaps for the better. Huge thanks to those driving this process. They are doing a wonderful job. Grew up in the village and now back as a young family. While finances can get tough it feels like something needs to be done. The impact will likely increase property values so the tax increase could pay for itself.
- Where is the demolition option ?? After all this time and money spent on this survey there is no mention of demolition?? This is such a one sided survey and proves nothing. Someone is pushing this instead of new construction and I wonder why?
- While at one time a beautiful building, years of neglect have caused significant deterioration to the structure. The costs to repair/restore at any level in my opinion would be astronomical and easily exceed any current estimates by double. Furthermore, the cost estimates do not reflect any operational cost estimates i.e.. staffing, staff benefits (both general and police), insurance, heat, light, water and general operational costs which are integral to making any decision on a project of this magnitude. In short, for me, this is a salvage and demolition project.
- While I love the architectural significance of the building there should be a "tear down" option.
- While we appreciate the beautiful exterior of the building, we believe the increases to tax we would have to bear to make the building useable are just not justifiable. We especially feel this

way with the “mothballing” option. If the building will not be made usable, it is hard to see any benefit to mothballing.

- Why did you not add an option to demolish the building and that cost? Why did you not add an option to preserve selected sections and architectural features and not expand the building?
- Why is knocking the building down not even an option?
- why isn't demolition an option? Believe the cost of these 3 options is too much. I would like to demolish and restore parkland
- Why was demolition not a part of this survey?
- Why wasn't demolition an option on the survey
- Will cost too much money to preserve this building.
- Will not support any tax increase
- Will not support. Demolish
- will not want this accessible to anyone outside of Garden City. Would bring more congestion to Stewart Ave.
- With 20+ years background in commercial rest estate, development and construction, have low confidence in accuracy of budgets for options presented. Given the age of the building, risk for unknown exposures is incredibly high. Operational costs have not been fully vetted or presented.
- With the exception of single or multi day group uses of a redeveloped St. Paul's, I bribe any investment the community makes should only be available for use by the community.
- With the level of taxes that we pay in this town I would vehemently oppose any increase that is related to a single project. How are my \$25,000 + in tax dollars being spent already? If the money cannot already be found in budget without further pressure to overtaxed residents, then the town can simply not afford this wasteful project.
- Working parents have too many other financial burdens at this time. We don't have time for silly projects.
- World only support tax to cover demolition expense
- Worth saving at all costs.
- Would be best to engage property management firm who would be best to manage this building and generate funds to pay for it.
- Would be extremely unhappy to increase taxes any amount for mothballing.
- Would be in favor of selling the property to a university or medical/ hospital and not increasing property taxes
- WOULD BE NICER TO SUPPORT SOMETHING MY KIDS WILL BENEFIT FROM AND PARTAKE IN BUT THIS HUGE UNDERTAKING WILL TAKE YEARS. THERE NEEDS TO BE A FASTER ALTERNATIVE TO IMPROVING AND CREATING RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES IN THIS TOWN. OUR TAX DOLLARS SHOULD BE INVESTED TOWARDS IMPROVING THE SCHOOL SYSTEM WHICH IS NOT PROPERLY ADDRESSING OUR CHILDREN'S NEEDS
- Would consider supporting bond financing cost and small tax increases if a Community Ice Rink is central to the St. Paul's renovation plan. We already have pools, tracks, fields and gyms in the Community. But no Community Ice Rink.
- WOULD LIKE TO SEE ESTIMATES OF WHAT SOURCES OF REVENUE GENERATION OF FACILITY ONCE ACTIVE; THAT IS, ESTIMATES OF USER FEES. USER FEES NECESSARY TO REDUCE PROP TAX IMPACT
- Would like to see St Paul's become a community asset where people can get together
- Would only count GC property only owners to have access to Saint Ponds.
- Would only support an indoor pool facility.
- Would prefer Saint Paul to be used for assisted-living

- Would prefer to keep a small portion of the front façade and demolished the rest and replaced with community friendly new construction.
- Would support a nominal tax increase for a facade or demo project.
- Would support whatever tax increase/revenue measures necessary to demolish the building. This survey is flawed as demolition not included as an option-prior referendum flawed as it conflated adaptive re-use & facadism.
- Would've liked clarity on whether Cluett Hall and the current field house will be part of these plans.
- You are underestimating costs.
- You should consider revenue generating options like a restaurant, bar, wedding venue space etc. instead of looking to raise taxes.
- YOU SHOULD HAVE INCLUDED A DEMOLITION CHOICE